Near-Infrared and Optical Observations of Type Ic SN2020oi and broad-lined Ic SN2020bvc: Carbon Monoxide, Dust and High-Velocity Supernova Ejecta


Abstract

We present near-infrared and optical observations of the Type Ic Supernova (SN) 2020oi in the galaxy M100 and the broad-lined Type Ic SN2020bvc in UGC 9379, using Gemini, LCO, SOAR, and other ground-based telescopes. The near-IR spectrum of SN2020oi at day 63 since the explosion shows strong CO emissions and a rising K-band continuum, which is the first unambiguous dust detection from a Type Ic SN. Non-LTE CO modeling shows that CO is still optically thick, and that the lower limit to the CO mass is $10^{-3}$ M$_\odot$. The dust temperature is 810 K, and the dust mass is $\sim 10^{-5}$ M$_\odot$. We explore the possibilities that the dust is freshly formed in the ejecta, heated dust in the pre-existing circumstellar medium, and an infrared echo. The light curves of SN2020oi are consistent with a STELLA model with canonical explosion energy, 0.07 M$_\odot$ Ni mass, and 0.7 M$_\odot$ ejecta mass. A model of high explosion energy of $10^{52}$ erg, 0.4 M$_\odot$ Ni mass, 6.5 M$_\odot$ ejecta mass with the circumstellar matter, reproduces the double-peaked light curves of SN2020bvc. We observe temporal changes of absorption features of the IR Ca II triplet, S1 at 1.043 µm, and Fe II at 5169 Å. The blue-shifted lines indicate high velocities, up to 60,000 km s$^{-1}$ for SN2020bvc and 20,000 km s$^{-1}$ for SN2020oi, and the expansion velocity rapidly declines before the optical maximum. We present modeled spectral signatures and diagnostics of CO and SiO molecular bands between 1.4 and 10 µm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The large amount of dust seen in high-z galaxies implies that dust forms in the early universe (Bertoldi et al. 2003; Isaak et al. 2002; Laporte et al. 2017). Intermediate mass stars, thought to produce most interstellar dust in present galaxies, when they are in the AGB phase, would not have evolved to the dust-producing stage in high-z galaxies because the universe was too young (Michałowski 2015; Leśniewska & Michałowski 2019). In contrast, core-collapse supernovae (ccSNe) can occur just several million years after their progenitors form, offering an explanation for the source of dust early in the universe. The mapping of the young supernova remnant (SNR) Cas A confirmed that dust and carbon monoxide (CO) form in SNe ejecta (Rho et al. 2008, 2012). Several SNRs, namely Cas A (De Looze et al. 2017), SN 1987A (Matsuura et al. 2015), G54.1+0.3 (Rho et al. 2018b), Crab Nebula (Gomez et al. 2012), and 3 additional pulsar-wind-nebula SNRs (Chawner et al. 2019) have dust masses of 0.1-0.9 M☉, in agreement with dust formation models (Todini & Ferrara 2001; Nozawa et al. 2003; Sluder et al. 2018). These results suggest that ccSNe are viable major dust factories in the early universe. However, understanding of the amount of dust destruction by reverse shocks in the SN ejecta is a subject of debate (Nath et al. 2008; Nozawa et al. 2007; Silvia et al. 2010; Micelotta et al. 2016; Kirchschlager et al. 2019). Estimates from a number of studies of the dust mass per SN event in recent SNe are more than two orders of magnitude lower (e.g., Kotak et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2011) than the masses measured in the young SNRs mentioned above.

SNe from core-collapse are classified as Type II, if they exhibit H lines, as Type Ib SNe (SNe Ib), if they exhibit He lines, but no H lines, and as Type Ic SNe (SNe Ic) if they do not exhibit either H or He lines (e.g., Filippenko 1997; Gal-Yam 2017; Williamson et al. 2019). The latter types likely arise from progenitors that have lost their hydrogen envelopes (for SNe Ib) and in addition of most, if not all, of the helium envelopes (for SNe Ic). SNe Ib and Ic are usually referred as stripped-envelope ccSNe.

The spectra of an SNe Ic subgroup, SNe Ic-BL, are characterized by broad lines (BL) implying very high velocities (>20,000 km s⁻¹ at maximum light; Modjaz et al. 2016) which, for some objects, may indicate higher explosion energies (∼10⁵² erg) than for typical SNe Ic. SNe Ic-BL are of great interest because they are the only type of SN associated with γ-ray bursts (GRBs; see reviews by, e.g., Woosley & Bloom 2006b; Modjaz 2011; Cano et al. 2017). There is considerable controversy over “hidden” He (e.g., Dessart et al. 2011; Hachinger et al. 2012), especially regarding whether the lack of obvious optical He lines in SN Ic and SNe Ic-BL spectra is evidence for helium deficiency in the ejecta. This controversy applies to the entire SN Ic family, including SN-GRBs and superluminous SN Ic (Mazzali et al. 2016). The apparent lack of He in SNe Ic and SNe Ic-BL spectra is puzzling since most of the progenitors of SNe Ic-BL and GRBs are He-stars (Fryer et al. 2007; Yoon 2015). Answering the He question is crucial for understanding the stellar progenitors of SNe Ic and SNe Ic-BL (Yoon 2017), including those connected with GRBs. Near-IR spectra are crucial for determining the presence of He and for identifying the stellar progenitors of SNe Ic (Dessart et al. 2012, 2015).

We recently obtained a sequence of near-IR spectra of the Type IIP ccSN 2017eaw, which shows the onset of CO formation and newly-formed carbon dust (Rho et al. 2018a; Tinyanont et al. 2019; Szalai et al. 2019). The timing of the appearance and the evolution of CO is remarkably similar to that seen in SN 1987A and is consistent with chemically controlled dust models. Some dust formation models (Todini & Ferrara 2001; Nozawa et al. 2003) predict that dust forms between 350 to 900 days after the explosion, with carbon dust being one of the first condensates. The dust mass produced in SNe ejecta depends on progenitor mass and on the SN type (Todini & Ferrara 2001; Sarangi & Cherchneff 2013).

In this paper we present Gemini GNIRS near-IR target-of-opportunity (ToO) spectroscopy of two ccSNe, the Type Ic SN2020oi and the Type Ic-BL SN2020bvc, together with near-IR spectroscopy from SOAR and IRTF, optical spectroscopy and photometry from the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) network, and other ground-based telescopes. The two SNe discovered within days of the explosion were rapidly observed and classified as described below. Here we present and analyze the early data from day 1 to ∼100 after the explosions.

2. OBSERVATIONS

SN2020oi (ZTF20aaelulu) was discovered on 2020 January 7 by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) at r = 17.28 mag (TNS 51926; Forster et al. 2020). It is located in the nearby galaxy M100 at a distance of...
16.22 Mpc based on NED\(^1\) with \(z = 0.00524\). Based on an optical spectrum obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Telescope (SOAR) it was classified as Type Ic (ATel \# 13393; Siebert et al. 2020). The SN has also been detected by Swift with UVW2=17.97±0.33, UVM2=18.16±0.34, and UVW1=17.54±0.25 (TNS 2020-8; Ho et al. 2020b). In addition, radio emission has been reported (ATel \# 13398, 13400, 13401, and 13448; Horesh et al. 2020; Moldon et al. 2020).

**SN2020bvc** was discovered by the All Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) on 2020 February 4 at \(g\) magnitude \(\sim 17\) (Stanek 2020). It is located in the galaxy UGC 09379, at a distance of 114 Mpc, with \(z = 0.025235\) based on NED. SN2020bvc was classified as a young ccSN based on optical spectra from LCO by Hiramatsu et al. (2020). It was further classified as broad-lined Ic by Perley et al. (2020). Early ZTF, LT, and ASAS-SN photometry show it to have had an extremely rapid initial rise, followed by a rapid decline (Perley et al. 2020). Radio emission by the Very Large Array and X-ray emission has been detected by Chandra (Ho et al. 2020a). No counterpart GRB to SN2020bvc has been detected. It has been suggested by Izzo et al. (2020), that the lack of a counterpart GRB is due to it being an off-axis GRB or having a choked jet. Ho et al. (2020c) present double-peaked light curves of SN2020bvc on an optical spectrum obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Telescope (SOAR) it was classified as Type Ic-BL: SN2020oi and SN2020bvc.

Near-infrared 0.8-2.5 \(\mu\)m spectra of SN2020oi and SN2020bvc were obtained by the Gemini Near-Infrared Spectrograph (GNIRS) on the 8.1-meter Frederick C. Gillett Gemini-North telescope, for program GN-2020A-Q-211. The observing dates are listed in Table 1. GNIRS was configured in its cross-dispersed mode, using its 32 line/mm grating and a 0.45 arcsec-wide slit to provide a resolving power, \(R\), of \(\sim 1200\) (250 km \(s^{-1}\)) for the first spectrum of SN2020oi and the SN2020bvc spectrum, and a 0.675 arcsec-wide slit, to nominally provide \(R = 800\) (375 km/s) for the second SN2020oi spectrum (the seeing was much better than 0.675 arcsec). The observational set-up and data reduction procedures were the same as those used for SN2017eaw as described in Rho et al. (2018a). The spectra shown here have been binned such that the separation of adjacent data points, \(\Delta \lambda\) corresponds to \(\lambda/\Delta \lambda \sim 1.000\). Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, Gemini-North telescope was closed from 2020 March 22. After Gemini-North re-opened we obtained a GNIRS spectrum at the position of SN2020oi on 2020 May 31, but the SN was not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>MJD</th>
<th>day</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN2020oi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.(^a)</td>
<td>20200106</td>
<td>58854.04</td>
<td>0((-t_0))(^b)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20200108</td>
<td>58856</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SOAR-Goodman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>20200109</td>
<td>58857</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SOAR-TripleSpec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20200109</td>
<td>58857</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SOAR-Goodman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20200111</td>
<td>58859</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lijiang-YFOSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20200117</td>
<td>58865</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Xinglong-BFOSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20200118</td>
<td>58867</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SOAR-Goodman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20200120</td>
<td>58868</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Xinglong-BFOSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>20200120</td>
<td>58869</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>IRTF-SPEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20200121</td>
<td>58869</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>LCOFTN-FLOYDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20200131</td>
<td>58879</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>LCOFTN-FLOYDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>20200204</td>
<td>58884</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Gemini-GNIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20200205</td>
<td>58884</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>LCOFTN-FLOYDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20200211</td>
<td>58890</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>SOAR-Goodman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20200215</td>
<td>58894</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Bok-BCspec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20200218</td>
<td>58897</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Xinglong-BFOSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>20200309</td>
<td>58917</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Gemini-GNIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20200313</td>
<td>58921</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Xinglong-BFOSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SN2020bvc  |          |          |     |               |
| 20200203   | 58882.67 | 0\((-t_0)\)\(^d\) | ...  |
| 1          | 20200205 | 58884    | 1.33 | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |
| 2          | 20200215 | 58894    | 10  | Bok-BCspec    |
| 3          | 20200224 | 58903    | 20  | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |
| 4          | 20200225 | 58904    | 21  | APO3.5m-DIS   |
| 101        | 20200306 | 58914    | 31  | Gemini-GNIRS  |
| 5          | 20200321 | 58929    | 46  | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |
| 6          | 20200323 | 58931    | 48  | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |
| 7          | 20200402 | 58941    | 58  | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |
| 8          | 20200417 | 58956    | 73  | LCOFTN-FLOYDS |

\(^a\) The number of observations counting optical spectroscopy starts from 1 and near-IR starts from 101 for SN2020oi and SN2020bvc, respectively. \(^b\) The explosion date of SN2020oi is estimated to be 2020 January 6 (MJD=58854), taken to be the middle point between the last non-detection reported by ZTF and the first detection. \(^c\) Near-IR observations are marked in bold. The GNIRS observations of SN2020oi on 20200204 approximately have J, H, and K magnitude of 14.9, 14.5, 14.3 mag, respectively. The GNIRS observations of SN2020oi on 20200309 have J, H, and K magnitude of 16.3, 16.2, 16.1 mag, respectively. The GNIRS observations of SN2020bvc on 20200306 have J, H, and K magnitude of 16.7, 15.7, 15.6 mag, respectively. \(^d\) The explosion date is from Ho et al. (2020c).

Near-IR spectra of SN2020oi were obtained with Triple-Spec v4.1, the fourth generation of the Triple-
Spec instrument mounted on the near-IR Nasmyth port of the 4.1 m SOAR telescope, previous versions of Triple-Spec were build for the 200-inch Palomar telescope, the 3.5 m telescope, and Keck-II telescope. Triple-Spec is a cross-dispersed spectrograph featuring six spectral orders, spanning 0.8 – 2.4 µm with a nominal resolution of R 3500, composed of a fixed-format slit assembly of 1.1° wide and 28° long, and a 2048×2048 Hawaii-2RG HgCdTe detector array. Triple-Spec at SOAR is fed by a reflection off a dichroic, which also transmits light to the guider. As a result, the response cuts off below 1.0 microns. The nominal point of 50% reflectivity of the dichroic is around 0.95 microns.

We used the SpeXtool IDL package (Cushing et al. 2004) to reduce the Triple-Spec data, we subtracted consecutive AB pairs to remove the sky and the bias level. We flat fielded the science frames dividing by the normalized master flat. We calibrated 2D science frames in wavelength by using CuHeAr Hollow Cathode comparison lamps. To correct for telluric features and to flux calibrate our SN spectrum, we observed the A0V telluric standard after the SN and at a similar airmass. Finally, we extracted the SN and the telluric star spectra from the 2D wavelength calibrated frames. After the extraction of the individual spectra, we used the xtellcorr task (Vacca et al. 2003) included in the SpeXtool IDL package to perform the telluric correction and the flux calibration of the spectra of SN2020oi.

LCO $UBVgri$-band data were obtained with the Sinistro cameras on the 1m telescopes at Sutherland (South Africa), CTIO (Chile), Siding Spring (Australia), and McDonald (USA), through the Global Supernova Project. PSF fitting was performed using lcogtsnpipe\(^2\) (Valenti et al. 2016), a PyRAF-based photometric reduction pipeline. As SN2020oi occurred in the same galaxy as SN2019ehk (Grzegorzek 2019), image subtraction was performed using as templates Sinistro images of SN 2019ehk (taken between 20191201-20191207), using PyZOGY (Guevel & Hosseinzadeh 2017), an implementation in Python of the subtraction algorithm described in Zackay & Ofek (2017). $UBV$-band data were calibrated to Vega magnitudes (Stetson 2000) using standard fields observed on the same night by the same telescope as the SN. $gri$-band data were calibrated to AB magnitudes using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, SDSS Collaboration 2017).

Additional photometric data were collected with the 0.8m RC80 telescope of Konkoly Observatory through Johnson $BV$ and Sloan $griz$ filters at Piszkéstető station (Hungary). Photometry was performed via image subtraction of PS1\(^3\) template frames implemented in IRAF. Photometric zero points in each filter were tied to PS1 photometry of 5–10 local stars used as tertiary standards. $B$ and $V$ magnitudes of the local standards in the Vega-system were derived from their $g_p$, $r_p$ and $i_p$ data via the calibration of Tonry et al. (2012), while the magnitudes in the $g$, $r$, $i$ and $z$ filters are given in the AB-system. We also added $gr$-band Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. 2019) photometric data for both SN2020oi and SN2020bvc.

LCO optical spectra were taken with the FLOYDS spectrographs mounted on the 2m Faulkes Telescope North (FTN) and South at Haleakala (USA) and Siding Spring (Australia), respectively, through the Global Supernova Project. A $2''$ slit was placed on the target at the parallactic angle (Filippenko 1982). One-dimensional spectra were extracted, reduced, and calibrated following standard procedures using the FLOYDS pipeline\(^4\) (Valenti et al. 2014). The wiggles in the FLOYDS spectra that appear above 7500 Å, are due to fringes.

We also acquired optical spectra with Goodman on SOAR telescope (Clemens et al. 2004) and the Boller & Chivens spectrograph (BCSpec) on the 2.3m Bok telescope. In addition we obtained a low-resolution spectrum with the Dual Imaging Spectrograph (DIS), mounted on the 3.5m telescope at the Apache Point Observatory. The R300 grating was central wavelength of 7500 Å. The instrument was rotated to the parallactic angle and $1 \times 400$ second exposures were obtained. These data were reduced using standard procedures and calibrated to a standard star obtained the same night using the PyDIS package (Davenport 2018). Finally, a few optical spectra of SN2020oi were observed using the Yunnan faint object spectrograph and camera (YFOSC) on the 2.4-meter Lijiang optical telescope (LJT) (Wang et al. 2019; Xin et al. 2020) and Beijing faint object spectrograph and camera (BFOSC) on Xinglong 2.16m telescope (Fan et al. 2016) of the Beijing Astronomical Observatory (BAO). The observations are summarized in Table 1.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Extinction

We have examined the Na I D lines from the optical spectra of both SNe (see Figure 6) and do not detect distinct doublets, but notice marginal dips at Na I D1 and

\(^2\) https://github.com/svalenti/lcogtsnpipe
\(^3\) https://ps1images.stsci.edu
\(^4\) https://github.com/svalenti/FLOYDS_pipeline
Figure 1. Multi-color light curves of SN2020oi from LCO (filled circles), Konkoly (open circles) and ZTF (filled triangles). The explosion date of 2020 January 6 (MJD=58854), taken to be the middle point between the last non-detection reported by ZTF and the first detection, is used as day 0 ($t_0$). The magnitudes are shifted as labeled for display purposes.

3.2. Light Curves and Explosion Properties of SN2020oi

The light curves of SN2020oi are shown in Figure 1. SN2020oi was discovered by the ZTF on 2020 January 5 (MJD=58855.54) at a magnitude of $r=17.28$, and the last non-detection reported by ZTF survey is on 2020 January 4 (MJD=58852.546) to a depth of $r=20.52$ mag. Assuming that the explosion time occurred at the middle point between the discovery and the last non-detection, SN2020oi exploded on 2020 January 6 ($t_0$; MJD = 58854.04 ± 1.5). The light curves of SN2020oi show a gradual increase over ∼10 days, peaking around Jan ∼13–18 (depending on the wavelength), and decreasing rapidly for 25 days. Thereafter the light curves are rather flat or decrease rather more slowly (∼0.3 mags) over ∼40 days (∼0.0075 mag/day). This behavior is as expected for the $^{56}$Co to $^{56}$Fe decay with a slope of 0.0098 mag/day.

After 65d, the light curves in the $g$ and $V$ bands show slightly steeper slope, which is common in SNe Ib/Ic. This more rapid decline is indicative of significant γ-ray escape due to the low mass of the ejecta (Clocchiatti & Wheeler 1997; Sollerman et al. 1998). The peak in the light curve in the $V$ band is on 2020 January 17 (MJD$_{V_{\text{max}}}$ = 58865.52 ± 0.14) at $V_{\text{max}} = 13.81 ± 0.03$ mag, determined using a light-curve peak finder code

https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/

https://lasair.roe.ac.uk/object/ZTF20aaelulu/
Table 2. Explosion and Progenitor Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>ic</th>
<th>ic-BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-O star ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>2.16 1</td>
<td>2.16 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosion Energy $E_{\exp}$ (10$^{51}$ erg)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic Energy $E_K$ (10$^{51}$ erg)</td>
<td>0.6 1-4 1</td>
<td>0.6 1-4 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni mass ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.07 0.076 0.07</td>
<td>0.07 0.076 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejecta mass ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.71 1.8 0.6</td>
<td>0.71 1.8 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progenitor ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>13 15 13-15</td>
<td>13 15 13-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM mass ($M_\odot$)</td>
<td>$\leq$3$\times$10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.1 $&lt;$0.01 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSM R (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10$^{14}$ $&gt;$10$^{12}$ 3$\times$10$^{13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 1) Valenti et al. (2008); 2) Hunter et al. (2009); 3) Mazzali et al. (2010); 4) Iwamoto et al. (1994); 5) Sauer et al. (2006); 6) Immel et al. (2002); 7) Ho et al. (2020c); 8) Cano (2013); 9) Patat et al. (2001); 10) Li & Chevalier (1999); 11) Nakamura et al. (2001); 12) Mazzali et al. (2006); 13) Nakar & Piro (2014); 14) Waxman et al. (2007).

b CO-star mass is the progenitor mass at the pre-SN stage.

c The $^{56}$Ni distribution profile is a gaussian function with $f_m$=5.0 for SN2020oi, and a step function with $f_m$=0.9 for SN2020bvc (Yoon et al. 2019, for details).

d Progenitor mass here is the initial mass of the progenitor.

Figure 3. Optical light curve of SN2020oi in V band is compared with SN2007gr, SN1994I, and SN2006jc. The light curves of the three SNe are scaled to match that of SN2020oi at its peak.

based on Monte Carlo simulation (Bianco et al. 2014). The peak in the light curve in the B band is on 2020 January 15 (MJD$_{B_{\max}}$ = 58863.15±0.20) at B$_{\max}$ = 14.73±0.02 mag. The dates of $V_{\max}$ and $B_{\max}$ are 11.5 and 9.1 days after $t_0$ (Figure 1), respectively.

We have compared the observed UBVgriz-band light curves from the LCO network, Konkoly, and ZTF with some supernova models, obtained using the one-dimensional multi-group radiation hydrodynamics code STELLA (Blinnikov et al. 2000, 2006). The STELLA code employs a predictor-corrector high order implicit scheme for line emission and calculates the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) at each time-step. The multi-color light curves are obtained by convolving the filter response functions with the SEDs. The STELLA code also implicitly treats time-dependent equations of the angular moments of intensity averaged over a frequency bin with the variable Eddington method until the agreement with hydrodynamics is achieved at each time-step.

When a progenitor star loses its envelopes of hydrogen and helium by its interaction with a binary companion, the explosion of the carbon-oxygen (C-O) star is triggered by Fe core-collapse and leads to an explosion of Type Ic. Using the model grids in Yoon et al. (2019), we find that the multicolor light curves of SN2020oi are well reproduced by the SN Ic model “CO2.16_fm5.0_E1.0” which has explosion energy of $E_{\exp}$ = 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{51}$ erg and a nickel mass of 0.07 $M_\odot$, as seen in Figure 2. The progenitor of this SN model is a helium-poor C-O star of mass 2.16 $M_\odot$ corresponding initial mass of the progenitor is about 13 $M_\odot$. The adopted mass cut (where the supernova energy is injected in mass coordinate) is 1.45 $M_\odot$, assumed to be mass of the neutron star and the corresponding ejecta mass is 0.71 $M_\odot$. We assume in our model that the mass cut is the outer boundary of the iron core. The nickel is assumed to be fully mixed (see Figure 1 of Yoon et al. 2019, for the Ni distribution of $f_m$=5.0) in the SN ejecta.

We checked the light curve fitting and parameter estimates by assembling the bolometric light curve and...
fitting it with an Arnett-model (Arnett 1982; Valenti et al. 2008; Chatzopoulos et al. 2012). This model family assumes homologous expansion of constant-density ejecta, powered by centrally located radioactive $^{56}$Ni, and solves the equation of radiation diffusion assuming constant opacity. The fitting resulted in physical parameters that are consistent with the STELLA model fitting above: ejecta mass in between 0.5 and 1.1 $M_\odot$ (depending on the opacity) and initial $^{56}$Ni mass of $0.07 \pm 0.01 M_\odot$.

The light curves of SN2020oi are compared with those of other Type Ic SNe of SN2007gr, SN1994I, and SN2006jc in Figure 3. The photometry and spectra of other SNe are obtained from the Open SN catalog\(^7\). The light curve of SN2020oi is remarkably similar to that of SN1994I. The two SNe, SN2007gr and SN2006jc, show slower declines after their peaks than SN2020oi. The progenitor and explosion properties of the three Ic SNe are compared in Table 2. The explosion energy, Ni mass, and ejecta mass ($E_{\exp} \sim 1 \times 10^{51}$ erg, $M_{\text{Ni}} \sim 0.07 M_\odot$, and $M_{ej} \sim 0.71 M_\odot$) of SN2020oi are indeed similar to those of SN1994I. In contrast, SN2007gr has a higher ejecta mass. The 2.16 $M_\odot$ CO star in SN2020oi can be made via binary interaction. The mass of circumstellar matter (CSM) could not be tightly constrained from optical curves without detection of the first peak (observed in SN2020bvc; see Figure 5). But the comparable model in Figure 2 assumes the upper limit to the CSM mass of $3 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$, which is still consistent with the observation. It does not contradict the recent findings based on radio observations by Horesh et al. (2020).

\(^7\)https://sne.space/sne/

### 3.3. Light Curves and Explosion Properties of SN2020bvc

Figure 4 shows optical light curves of SN2020bvc with LCO, Konkoly, and ZTF photometry. We use 2020 February 3.67 (MJD = 58882.67) from Ho et al. (2020c) as day 0, which is based on the time of the ATLAS non-detection. The maximum in the $V$ band light curve occurred on 2020 February 20 (MJD $V_{\text{max}} = 58899 \pm 0.12$) at $V_{\text{max}} = 16.36 \pm 0.02$ mag. The maximum
in the $B$ band light curve occurred on 2020 February 12 (MJD$_{B,max} = 58891.26 \pm 0.12$) at $B_{max} = 17.18 \pm 0.01$ mag. The dates of $V_{max}$ and $B_{max}$ are 16.32 and 8.6 days after $t_0$ (Figure 4), respectively. After reaching maxima, the light curves show gradual decreases until day $\sim$100 (the end of the coverage). The peak of SN2020bvc is shallower than that of SN2020oi by $\sim$1 magnitude.

To reproduce the light curves of SN2020bvc, we have calculated a new SN model (based on Yoon et al. 2019) with a helium-poor CO star of mass 8.26 $M_\odot$, which corresponds to a progenitor 40–50 $M_\odot$ (the full grid of models will be published elsewhere). We include the double-peaked light curves from Ho et al. (2020c) for modeling to derive explosion parameters. We convert the light curves from Ho et al. (2020c) in AB-system to Vega-system to compare with our LCO, Konkoly, and simulated light curves. The model is superposed on the light curve of SN2020bvc in Figure 5. The assumed mass cut is 1.86 $M_\odot$ and hence the ejecta mass is 6.40 $M_\odot$. We find that an explosion energy of $E_{exp} = 12 \times 10^{51}$ erg and a radioactive nickel mass of 0.4 $M_\odot$ lead to a reasonably good fit for the main peak and the light curve width (Figure 5). The nickel is assumed to be uniformly mixed in the inner region of the SN ejecta (see Figure 1 of Yoon et al. 2019, for the Ni distribution of $f_N$=0.9), encompassing 90% of the ejecta mass. If this SN had a magnetar engine (e.g., Kasen & Bildsten 2010), the actual nickel mass would be smaller than our estimate from the model.

The light curves of SN2020bvc in the optical are double-peaked. To explain the first peak, we assume that the progenitor was surrounded by massive circumstellar matter (CSM) having the standard “stellar wind density profile ($\rho$)” of $\rho = M/4\pi v_w r^2$, where $M$ is a mass-loss rate, $v_w$ is a wind velocity, and $r$ is the radius of a stellar wind. Within the parameter space we explored CSM mass (i.e., $M_{CSM} = 0.05$, 0.1 and 0.15 $M_\odot$) and CSM radius (i.e, $R_{CSM} = 10^{13}$, 10$^{14}$ & 10$^{15}$ cm), we find that $M_{CSM} = 0.1 M_\odot$ and $R_{CSM} = 10^{14}$ cm ($\sim$1400 $R_\odot$) give the best fit to the first peak of the light curves in the different bands. The estimated mass and radius of the extended material is comparable to those in other Ic (e.g., super-luminous) SNe (Piro 2015). In Section 4.3, we compare the light curves of SN2020bvc with other Ic-BL SNe and discuss possible scenarios to explain the double-peaked light curves.

3.4. Optical and Near-IR Spectroscopy of SN Ic and Ic-BL

Figure 6 shows thirteen optical spectra of SN2020oi and eight optical spectra of SN2020bvc. Figure 7 shows four near-IR spectra, along with the corresponding optical data from the nearest day of SN2020oi and SN2020bvc, together with the identifications of lines (see Table 1). The optical and near-IR spectra of SN2020oi span days 2 to 67 and those of SN2020bvc span days 1 to 73. The rest wavelengths of the lines (note that we use Angstroms in STP air for the optical spectra and microns in vacuum for the near-infrared spectra) are from the SN spectral models by Dessart et al. (2012) and synthesized spectra using SYNAPPS (Thomas et al. 2011), other observed spectra of SN Ic (Hunter et al. 2009; Stevenson et al. 2017, 2019; Gerardy et al. 2002; Drout et al. 2016), or Ib (Ergon et al. 2014; Jencson et al. 2017).

The spectra of both SNe are dominated by atomic lines, in absorption or in emission, and sometimes simultaneously in the form of P Cygni profiles. In some cases, where multiple lines are thought to contribute, such as the near-infrared Ca ii triplet, we refer to the combined lines as “features”. The species making the strongest contributions are Fe ii, Si ii, Ca ii, C i, S i, and S ii (see Figure 7). The lines of Type Ic-BL SN2020bvc are distinctly broader than Type Ic SN2020oi. Surprisingly, despite their belonging to different subclasses of Type Ic, almost the same set of lines can be seen in each of them. The lines are labeled next to each peak; Fe ii at 4924, 5018, 5169, 5363 Å (Kankare et al. 2014), and Si ii at 6355 Å. The Ca ii IR triplet shows both absorption and emission (due to its members having P Cygni profiles). We use the mean wavelength of 8567 Å for the triplet, the wavelengths of whose individual lines are 8498, 8542, and 8662 Å. The absorption line at 1.01 μm is C i, and the nearby line at 1.046 μm is S i with both absorption and emission in Figure 7. The lines of S i at 1.113 μm, Fe ii/Mg ii at 1.47 μm, Si i at 1.589 μm, Fe ii at 1.809 μm, and Fe ii at 2.18 μm of SN2020bvc also have counterparts in the spectra of SN2020oi. The presence of these lines is consistent with the lines in SN 2011dh, SPIRITS 15c (Jencson et al. 2017), and in SN2007gr (Hunter et al. 2009). The Fe ii at 1.64 μm and Mg i lines at 1.5 μm are commonly detected in SN Type Ib/Ib, SPIRITS 15c (Jencson et al. 2017) as well as in IIP SN2017ew (Rho et al. 2018a). There is little temporal change in the spectra of SN2020bvc from 20d to 73d. The spectrum at 1d is mostly black-body emission and the peak emission wavelength in the spectrum at 10d is different from those at 20 - 73d. The velocity profiles of the line features are discussed in Section 4.2.

Lines of He are present at 5875 Å, 1.083 μm, and 2.0587 μm. At 5875 Å, there is also Na i line (Figure 6), and at 1.083 μm, the S i line is present (Figures 7). The He i line at 2.0587μm is free of other lines However, at high velocity, the absorption of this line in SN2020oi is
Figure 6. Optical spectra of SN2020oi and SN2020bvc with the LCO network, Bok, Lijiang, Xinglong, and SOAR telescopes (see Table 1 for details). At top, the wavelengths of noteworthy atomic lines (at rest wavelength) are indicated (where CaII8200 infers blue-shifted Ca\textsc{ii} triplets.)

The spectral signature of the CO overtone band emission at 2.3–2.5 μm with its “sharp cut-on” on the short wavelength edge is clearly evident in the day 63 spectrum of SN2020oi; it was not present at day 29. Bandheads of CO occur at 2.294, 2.323, 2.353, 2.383, 2.414, 2.446, and 2.447 μm (see Figure 2 of Rho et al. 2018a). Only the cut-on due to the shortest wavelength band head is apparent. This is due to a combination of the high-velocity width and the optically thick CO gas that makes up the individual bands.

Longwards of 2.0 μm, the continuum flux density from SN2020oi increases on day 63, whereas it was decreasing in the earlier spectrum. This is an unambiguous detection of warm dust. Detection of dust in Type Ic spectra is rare, especially at such early times.

4. DISCUSSION
Figure 7. Optical and near-infrared spectra of SN2020oi (top four) and SN2020bvc (bottom in rose and red), shifted to $z=0$. The optical spectra closest in time (see Figure 6 marked in the same color) to the dates of the infrared spectra have been adjoined to the infrared spectra. At the top the wavelengths of noteworthy atomic lines (at rest wavelength) are indicated and at the bottom the broad lines observed in SN 2020bvc are identified. The dates (after explosion) at right are when the infrared spectra were obtained. The dashed line in the day 63 spectrum of SN2020oi (in blue) is an approximate K-band continuum, and the location of the CO emission above it is indicated. The O I lines are marked in dashed-dotted lines with the labels at the bottom, blended with other lines. The location of He I lines (at 0.5875, 1.0830 and 2.0581 $\mu$m) are marked in grey dashed lines and indicated at the bottom.

4.1. CO and Dust Formation in Type Ic SN 2020oi

4.1.1. CO Properties from LTE Model

Carbon monoxide (CO) is one of the most powerful coolants in the ejecta of SNe II and is believed to be responsible in large part for cooling the ejecta to temperatures at which dust can form. It is questionable as to whether the models accurately represent the evolution of the ejecta and their mixing. Still, it is clear that measurements of CO are important tests of them, and the presence of CO is closely tied to dust formation. The onset of CO formation in SNe is best seen in the first overtone bands at 2.3-2.5 $\mu$m, which have been detected only in a handful of ccSNe (e.g., Sarangi et al. 2018).

We have estimated the CO mass in SN2020oi using the LTE model developed by Das et al. (2009), which assumes optically thin emission and a pure $^{12}$C$^{16}$O composition. Earlier applications of the model include SN2017eaw (Rho et al. 2018a), SN2016adj (Banerjee et al. 2018) and several novae (Banerjee et al. 2016; Joshi et al. 2017). We adopted a straight continuum (for the dust emission) passing through the two minima (2.01 – 2.08 $\mu$m and 2.155 – 2.17 $\mu$m) in the spectrum between 2.00 and 2.23 $\mu$m. The best fit model, shown in Figure...
was determined by varying the CO mass, temperature, and velocity dispersion using chi-square minimization over the region 2.27 to 2.44 µm. The region beyond 2.44 µm was excluded from the fit optimization due to spectral contamination caused by a strong atomic line at ~2.48 µm, possibly due to Mg I. We estimate the following parameters for the CO gas: temperature = 3150 ± 200 K; velocity = 3700 ± 100 km s^{-1}; CO mass = (0.7–0.9)×10^{-4} (d/16.22)^2 M⊙ where d is the actual distance in Mpc.

The estimated CO mass of SN2020oi is the first estimate for a Type Ic SN. The CO mass of ~ 10^{-4} M⊙ is consistent with those observed in other SNe (e.g. SN1987A; Sarangi et al. 2018, and references therein) at similar epochs. Models of CO formation for SN Type IIP (e.g. Sarangi & Cherchneff 2013; Sluder et al. 2018) indicate that the amount of CO increases from ≲10^{-4} M⊙ at 100 days since the explosion to as much as 0.1 M⊙ after ≳1500 days, irrespective of SN progenitor (see e.g. Sarangi & Cherchneff 2013). CO was detected in Type Ib SN2016adj at day 58 after discovery and its estimated CO mass was 2×10^{-4} M⊙ (Banerjee et al. 2018).

The detection of CO in SN2020oi, only ~63d since the explosion (~54 and 52d after B and V band maximum, respectively), is one of the earliest CO detections in an SN of any type. There are only two other SNe where a similar, early CO detection was made viz. SN 2013ge (Drout et al. 2016) and SN2016adj (Banerjee et al. 2018). Detections of CO were reported in Type Ic SN2000ew and SN2007gr at day 90 and 70 (post-B maximum), respectively (Gerardy et al. 2002; Hunter et al. 2009). Sarangi et al. (2018), summarizing data obtained up to 2013, found only four CO detections before day 100. In this context, the early onset of CO formation in SNe, such as SN2020oi, may present a serious challenge for theoretical models.

4.1.2. Non-LTE CO model: Diatomic Molecule formation as a Diagnostic

As a second approach to molecular formation, we consider spherical explosion models with the free parameters as follows: 1) the progenitor mass, 2) the initial stellar density and abundance structure, 3) the explosion energy and amount of $^{56}$Ni, and 4) possible mixing processes as key to the inherently 3D nature of the CC-SNe explosion mechanism. Parameters 1 to 3 which characterize the explosion model, can be derived from light curves and spectra.

We demonstrate in the Appendix that the structure of the molecular bands of CO and CO$^+$ provide a sensitive tool to probe the temperature, velocity, chemical composition, and that a large CO + $/CO$ indicates the exposure to hard radiation in the CO emitting region which depends on mixing.

As described below, further information on mixing comes from the time dependence of the strength of the CO feature. In CC-SNe with massive H-rich envelopes, the onset of the CO formation is correlated with the photosphere entering the CO core as discussed below. However, in SN Ic, the CO core is exposed from the beginning, and the photosphere is hot. Thus, $^{56}$Ni mixing may play the central role in the onset of CO formation rather than low T.

Mixing in Light of the Explosion Physics—Large and small scale mixing is not well understood, but polarization measurements of another Type Ic, SN 2002ap, and the Type Ib, SN2001ig, suggest a large scale bipolar abundance structure (Wang et al. 2003; Maund et al. 2007) which is consistent with asymmetric, axially symmetric explosion mechanisms (e.g. Khokhlov et al. 1999; Hoeflich et al. 1999; Couch 2017). Spherical explosions form a central cavity and a dense shell supported by radiation pressure. In contrast a large scale asymmetric explosion does not form a central cavity because material is dragged down, and the void is filled. As shown in the papers cited above, the detailed structure depends on various mechanisms which include Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities of the shell, asymmetric explosions by bipolar-outflows, angular momentum inherited from the progenitor, etc., which result in different density and abundance profiles. Here, we assume mixed material fills the inner void and use mixing of abundances of the parts.
of the core as a free parameter and the molecule formation as an indicator.\footnote{In the spherical explosion model for SN2020oi, the inner cavity has a size of about 6,000 km s$^{-1}$ which is both inconsistent with the velocity indicated by the CO-feature. As we will see below, the resulting low density due large geometrical dilution causes CO formation time-scales which are inconsistent with the early CO observed in SN2020oi.}

Model setup and methods—We consider the questions whether and under which condition molecular formation can be understood in SNe Ic such as SN2020oi.

We simulate the time-dependent molecule formation via rate-equations and radiation transport as affected by the optical depth of the CO lines. We use the dynamical background based on the progenitor and the explosion parameters for SN2020oi found by the light curve-analysis of Yoon (2017) and Yoon et al. (2019) with mixing of the ejecta as a free parameter. For our simulations, we use our HYDrodynamical RAdiation code HYDRA which includes modules to provide a solution for the nuclear networks, the statistical equations needed to determine the atomic level population, the equations of state, the opacities, the hydro in comoving hydro via the Piecewise Parabolic Method (PPM) and radiation/positron transport problems (Hubeny & Lanz 2003; Kubát 2009; Hoeflich et al. 2019). These modules have been widely applied in non-LTE analyses of SN1987A, and of SNe of Type II, Ibc, and Ia.

For the background and computational efficiency, we use the same assumptions as for SN1994I (Hoeflich et al. 2000), an approach very similar to STELLA. The photon transport in molecules is solved in the co-moving frame without relativistic corrections (Mihalas et al. 1975; Mihalas & Mihalas 1984) and formal integration for the emitted spectrum because the CO emission is not optically thin, and can be expected to have an effect on estimates of the amount of CO required. Our Monte-Carlo scheme is used for $\gamma$-transport (Hofflich et al. 1993; Penney & Hoeflich 2014) because the ionization may affect the time-scale of the CO and $CO^+$ formation as has been widely discussed for SN 1987A (Spyromilio et al. 1989; Hofflich et al. 1989; Lepp et al. 1990; Meikle et al. 1993). We use our module for molecule formation. For details, see Sharp & Hoeflich (1990, 1989), Gerardy et al. (2000), and references therein. For the formation and destruction, we use the time-dependent rate equations for $C + O \rightarrow CO$, $C^+ + O \rightarrow CO^+$. For the simulations below, a typical of 50,000 to 300,000 ro-vibrational transitions have been taken into account for each $CO$, $CO^+$ and $SiO$. $SiO$ are included because the progenitor model has overlapping chemical regions with $C$, $O$, and $Si$. Depending on the conditions, $Si$ can bind $O$ which then is not available for CO formation. As is common for the time-dependent networks (e.g. Meikle et al. 1993), we include three-body association with neutral and singly-ionized C and O, radiative association and dissociation, collisional dissociation by electrons, and charge-exchange reactions. In addition, the energy deposition and ionization by radioactive decay, $\gamma$-rays and positrons, are taken as additional ionization processes for both the atoms and molecules. Note that for SN1987A, the importance of channel via the $CO^+$ channel is still under debate (Petuchowski et al. 1989; Sharp & Hoeflich 1990). However, $\gamma$-ray escape was small in SN 1987A at the time of the CO formation, whereas in a SN Ic, we see directly the exposed core strongly formed by asymmetric explosions and conditions in which non-thermal excitation and ionization occur.

4.1.3. Application of Non-LTE CO model to the NIR spectrum of SN2020oi

The discussion above revealed signatures but leaves unanswered questions on the time-dependence of CO and regarding optical depth effects at some 48d past maximum or 2 months after the explosion. Can we understand the early CO-formation needed in SN2020oi, and what amount of CO is needed?

Note that each model requires a time series of $\gamma$-ray and positron transport, and radiation transport simulations for some 1000 time-steps. Therefore, we assumed full mixing up to a certain velocity only. For the case of unmixed models, the hydrodynamical profile is used which contains a density ‘hole’ as in the model. For mixed models, we assume the density hole being filled (Figure 9). Non-thermal processes will enter by positrons and $\gamma$-rays. In all of our models, the positron component is local while the $\gamma$-ray deposition is mostly non-local. Thus, the effect on molecule formation will depend somewhat on homogeneous or large scale mixing, but we found this effect on the CO emission spectrum to be small.

As an error estimate for the mass of the CO we only quote the variation possible within these simple assumptions. Obviously, the formation depends on model parameters not considered, such as clumps in both chemistry and density, large scale asymmetry, etc. Instead, we calculated a series of models with various amounts of core and $^{56}$Ni mixing to fit the observations.

In Figures 9 & 10 we show the structure of the mixed model (up to $v \approx 7000$ km s$^{-1}$), and the comparison between the observed and theoretical spectra of the mixed and unmixed model. Both models show some CO beginning about 50 days after the explosion. This phase coin-
Figure 9. Structure of the mixed model at day 60. We give temperature $T$ and particle density $n$ (right scale) and the $\tau_{\text{ros}}$, particle abundances of $CO$, $CO^+$ and $SiO$ as a functions $F(x)$ of the expansion velocity (lower scale) and mass (top scale). The expansion velocity of $5000-9000$ km$s^{-1}$ of SN2020oi (see Section 4.2) infers a temperature of $3000-3300$ K and a density of $(3-5) \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$.

Figure 10. Comparison between the CO contribution to the flux by time-dependent non-LTE models (red) and SN2020oi (black) in the spectral regions of the 1$^{st}$ and 2$^{nd}$ overtone of the CO-bands (at 2.3-2.5 and 1.6-1.8 $\mu$m, respectively). Dashed lines are estimated continua. To produce a CO core early on, large scale mixing of the core is needed. See text for details.

The early formation of CO is directly linked to low ejecta mass. CO formation would set in later for more massive envelopes because of the more effective trapping of thermal energy. Charged reactions are important for CO formation. In the inner hotter layers, a large fraction of the CO production occurs at the ‘photosphere’ via $CO^+$ channel, as the photosphere recedes with time. However, even in our models, the rate $dCO^+/dt$ is larger than the expansion time leading to the overall low $CO^+$ concentration seen in Figure 9. Note that without extensive mixing, the CO formation time scales are much longer or the formation even suppressed and the CO forms well above the photosphere. As a result, the CO feature in our unmixed model is both too weak and to broad (Fig. 10).

In the following we discuss the mixed model using the 1$^{st}$ overtone of CO. About $0.5-1\%$ of the total carbon is bound in molecules. CO is important for the coupling between the radiation field and the plasma. However, only a small amount, $\approx 10^{-3}M_\odot$ is in the optically thin regime and contributes to the emission. The lower vibrational modes of 1$^{st}$ CO-overtone only becomes optically thin at $\approx 6000-8000$ km$s^{-1}$. This leads to a rather featureless synthetic CO-spectral profiles as observed. However, the overly broad blue wing to the first band-head $(2.3 \mu m)$ and the suppression of the flux at the peak may indicate an excess of CO formation at the time prior to the observation.

The 2$^{nd}$ CO overtone (at $\sim1.6-1.8 \mu m$) is weak and does not conflict with the observations, which did not detect it. It may contribute to the flux at the $\approx 10\%$ level, but atomic lines of allowed transitions still dominate the spectrum at day 60 until much later, namely the nebular phase. With mixing there is significant formation of SiO even some 2 months after the explosion which might be detected. As discussed in Section 4.1.2, layers with excess Si vs. C should show a strong feature if the Si core is exposed or Si/O compositions are mixed on macroscopic scale. With time, deeper layers become sufficiently cool for SiO to form. Combining near-IR CO and mid-IR SiO would provide a unique tool to distinguish various models and physical mechanisms. Temperatures are too high for early dust formation which, in SN2020oi, may favor the interpretation of pre-existing dust likely being heated by the SN radiation rather over the formation of new dust.

For SN2020oi low ejecta mass, extended mixing and explosion mechanisms which can ‘fill the inner void’ are needed to match the observations. SN2020oi is an important representative of ‘low’ mass end of SNe Ic, with SN 1994I being the only other representative. Our anal-
The estimated dust temperature is 810 K. We performed the fitting only using the continuum between 2.00 and 2.32\,\mu m. The best-fit model is plotted from 1.8 to 3\,\mu m for display purpose.

Figure 11. The spectrum of SN2020oi at 63 d superposed on a carbon dust model (in red) for the best-fitting dust temperature of \(\sim810\) K. We performed the fitting only using the continuum between 2.00 and 2.32\,\mu m. The best-fit model is plotted from 1.8 to 3\,\mu m for display purpose.

ysis of the CO feature shows that mixing of the inner region is needed from both its strength and profile. The spectral feature probes both the velocity and the time-domain. The light curves make only use of the time-domain and, thus, are less suitable to probe mixing and asymmetries. At early times, mixing and asymmetries will mostly change the shape of the light curves. To first order, the rise is dominated by the mass and energy of the ejecta whereas late-times are dominated by the amount of \(^{56}Ni\). The angular redistribution of photons is largest at early times. At late times, the radiation becomes isotropic. A full 3D-light curve analysis is beyond the scope of this paper.

4.1.4. Dust Emission in SN2020oi

As can be seen in Figure 7 at day 63, the spectrum of SN2020oi exhibits a rising continuum flux density longward of 2.0\,\mu m, as well as emission from CO longward of 2.3\,\mu m. Clearly, the rising continuum is due to emission from dust. The dust continuum is fit with the Planck function \([B_\nu(T)]\) multiplied by the absorption efficiency \((Q_{abs})\), where we assume carbon dust and the best-fit is shown in Figure 11. We use carbon dust because it condenses early, at temperature 1100 - 1700 K (Fedkin et al. 2010). The continuum we use is similar to that for the CO analysis (in Sections 4.1 - 4.3), but we add the third portion between 2.255 and 2.285\,\mu m in addition to the two parts between 2.01 and 2.08\,\mu m and between 2.155 and 2.17\,\mu m since \(Q_{abs}\) of carbon dust has a curvature. Details of the fitting procedure using MPFIT (Markwardt 2009) and information about absorption coefficients are given in Rho et al. (2018b). The estimated dust temperature is 810\pm10 K, and the dust mass is 5.9\pm0.7 \times 10^{-5}\,M_\odot. There may be another lower-temperature dust component, but we cannot constrain it since the CO feature (see Figures 8 and 10) continues at the end of the spectrum (at 2.5\,\mu m). Spectral coverage beyond 2.5\,\mu m is required to estimate a more accurate dust mass. Therefore, the dust mass of 5.9\pm0.7 \times 10^{-5}\,M_\odot is a lower limit of the dust mass.

Two key questions about the emitting dust need to be addressed: (1) Is the rising continuum emitted by freshly formed dust in the SNe ejecta? (2) Can dust form as early as at 63 days post explosion in SNe Type Ic? Dust formation in Type Ib SNe has been observed in the case of SN2006jc. SN SN2006jc showed increasing near-IR flux excess as early as 50 - 75 days based on photometry (Di Carlo et al. 2008) and a rising continuum in optical spectra, indicating a dust temperature of 1700 K and dust mass of 6\times10^{-6}\,M_\odot (Smith et al. 2008). The dust emission at day 50 seen in SN2006jc was interpreted as due to either new dust in the ejecta or dust originating from the dense shell formed in the post-shock CSM (Smith et al. 2008; Pastorello et al. 2008). On day 20, AKARI observation of SN 2006jc detected near-IR to mid-IR emission which can be fitted with a two-temperature dust model with 800 K and 320 K and corresponding dust masses of 7\times10^{-5} and 2.7\times10^{-3}\,M_\odot, respectively (Sakon et al. 2009). The 800 K dust component is suggested to originate from freshly formed dust and the 320 K component is in the CSM dust. Freshly Formed Dust: The central region of CO in SN2020oi is optically thick so that radiation from CO does not efficiently cool the gas to low enough temperatures for the dust to form. The temperature in the CO forming region is \(\sim3000\) K. In the outer layers (see Figures 9 and 10) where CO can cool the gas efficiently, densities are too low (\(\sim4\times10^4\,\text{cm}^{-3}\)) by many orders of magnitude for dust formation. Note that this conclusion assumes a progenitor and fully mixed model as described earlier. Our dust-forming model based on Dominik (1992) and Dominik & Tielens (1997), which is an extension of the non-LTE CO modeling (Sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3), shows that no prominent dust forms at day 63 in the Type Ic SN2020oi. However, chemically controlled dust models tend to result in earlier dust formation (Sluder et al. 2018; Sarangi & Cherchneff 2015) and clumping of ejecta may change the timescale of dust formation.

The presence of newly formed dust either in ejecta or CSM interaction can be tested by other means. One possible signature of dust formation is a deviation in the light curves from those produced purely by the \(^{56}Co\)-to-\(^{56}Fe\) decay slope (Elmhamdi et al. 2004). An example is SN2006jc, which shows such a deviation around day 60, as shown in Figure 3. SN2020oi does not show a signifi-
Figure 12. (a) Velocity profiles and temporal evolution of (a) the Ca\textsubscript{ii} triplet (assumed intensity-weighted rest wavelength 0.8567 \( \mu \)m) in SN2020oi upper 4 curves and in SN2020bvc (bottom curve in red). Color coding is as in Figure 7 for near-IR spectra (panels a, c, d) and as in Figure 6 for optical spectra (panel b). The Ca\textsubscript{ii} triplet in SN2020oi has both emission and absorption components; the velocities of absorption minima (in cyan) are marked in circles at the velocities -22,700, -15,700, -14,400, -11,300 kms\(^{-1}\) for days 3, 14, 29 and 63, respectively. The velocities are estimated using Gaussian fits. (b) Same for Ca\textsubscript{ii} optical line in SN2020oi. Note the change in slope of the peak absorption velocity with time in both Ca\textsubscript{ii} lines after the maximum peak at day 12; c) Same for IR Si line at 1.046 \( \mu \)m in both SNe. The absorption minima are at velocities of -12,700, -7,300, -7,300, and -5,000 kms\(^{-1}\) for days 3, 14, 29 and 63, respectively. (d) Same for potential He I line at 2.0587 \( \mu \)m in both SNe. The absorption minima are about -10,000 kms\(^{-1}\) (dotted line). The He I absorption feature is discussed in Section 4.4.

cant deviation from the decay slope between days 20 and 60. Another signature of newly formed dust can come from line profiles. The red wings of emission lines can be suppressed due to extinction by newly condensing dust that obscures the receding gas. Dominant blue wings relative to red wings, or double-peaked line profiles due to condensing dust are often observed in hydrogen or helium lines (Elmhamdi et al. 2004; Smith et al. 2008), but SN2020oi did not display such line profiles. Bevan et al. (2019) have attributed the early-time (\( \sim 70 \) d) line profile asymmetries in SN2005ip (Type IIn) to newly-formed ejecta dust rather than a CSM shell, although the dust mass at day 50 is only \( 10^{-8} \) M\( _{\odot} \). Atomic lines in the optical or near-IR spectra at day 63, which contains CO emission and a rising dust continuum (Figure 7) do not show any asymmetry or other unusual line shapes. Note, however, that spectra obtained after day 63 are too limited to reveal any temporal change, because the spectra lack hydrogen and helium lines.

A model of Type Ib SN2006jc by Nozawa et al. (2008) indicates that carbon dust formation can occur in Type Ib SNe as early as at 40 – 60 days due to the rapid decrease in the gas temperature in SNe Ib. This is consistent with the carbon dust we used in our dust fitting of SN2020oi above. The temperature at day 50 reaches 1000 – 2000 K and carbon dust forms in the outer carbon layer. The condensation of carbon dust is followed by the condensation of silicate and oxide grains, up to 230 days; most of the dust forms at days 80 – 180 (Nozawa et al. 2008). Compared to Type IIP SNe, Type Ib/Ic SNe have lost most of the hydrogen/helium envelopes before the explosion; thus, their ejected masses are smaller, and the expansion velocities are higher than in SNe IIP. This leads to a lower density of gas in the ejecta and more rapid cooling than in typical SNe II. The dust temperature and dust mass that we derive for SN2020oi are comparable to those in the dust formation model for SN SN2006jc of Nozawa et al. (2008).

The equilibrium temperature of the dust \( T_d \) is determined in the usual way by assuming radiative balance between absorption and emission:

\[
\frac{L_*}{4\pi r^2} \overline{Q}_{\text{abs}} \pi a^2 = 4\pi a^2 \sigma T_d^4 \langle Q_{\text{abs}}(T_d) \rangle,
\]

where \( L_* \) is the bolometric luminosity of the central object, \( r \) is the distance from the SN that the dust has reached at time \( t \), and \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. \( \overline{Q}_{\text{abs}} \) is the absorption efficiency of the dust, averaged over the spectral energy distribution of the heating source, and \( \langle Q_{\text{abs}}(T_d) \rangle \) is the Planck mean absorption efficiency of the dust. For carbon dust we take \( \overline{Q}_{\text{abs}} \approx 1 \) and \( \langle Q_{\text{abs}}(T_d) \rangle = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} a T_d \), where \( a \) is the grain radius in \( \mu \)m; this formulation for \( \langle Q_{\text{abs}}(T_d) \rangle \) is valid for \( 250 < T_d \) (in K) < 1000 and \( a < 0.3 \mu \)m (see Tielens 2005, for details). Thus

\[
T_d = \left( \frac{L_*}{16\pi r^2} \frac{1}{10^{-4} a \sigma} \right)^{1/5}
\]
We derive the luminosity of SN2020oi at day 63 using optical photometry to be $2.5 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, but this does not include the ultraviolet contribution. The luminosity from our light curve model gives $L_e = 1.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg/s for the bolometric luminosity, which is consistent with the smallest luminosity of Type Ic SNe (Kumar et al. 2018); we use this value for $L_e$ in what follows.

As the ejecta are strongly decelerating (see Fig. 12), we estimate the distance reached at time $t = 63$ days by assuming for simplicity that the ejecta velocity decline with time as $v_{\text{ejecta}} \propto t^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ is a small positive constant. This is intended for convenience only and clearly not as a physical model. We integrate $v_{\text{ejecta}}$ over time, using the times and velocity values for the 8567Å Ca II line and the 1.046µm [Si] line in the caption to Figure 12. We find that $\alpha \simeq 0.22$ for the Ca II line, and $\alpha \simeq 0.29$ for the [Si] line. In this way we find that $r \simeq 2.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm from the Ca II line, and $r \simeq 9.3 \times 10^{16}$ cm from the [Si] line. These values of $r$ lead to $T_d \simeq 625$ (395) K and 945 (595) K respectively for a grain size of 0.1 (1) µm. Based on SN dust formation models (Sluder et al. 2018; Sarangi & Chernin 2015), the peak of grain sizes is close to 0.1µm although ~1µm size grains still exist. These values are in line with the value deduced ($T_d \sim 800$ K) from the IR excess.

These considerations point to the fact that the dust contributing to the IR excess of SN2020oi in Figure 11 arises from dust condensing in the SN ejecta.

**CSM dust:** Other possibilities to explain the rising continuum seen in SN2020oi are that the emission originates in the pre-existing CSM by the radiative heating of pre-existing CSM dust by SNe or by newly formed dust in the swept-up CSM dense knots. The newly formed dust can result from the CSM interaction when the reverse shock hits the dense CSM shell or knots. In the case of SN IIn 2005ip, Fox et al. (2010) suggested that the warmer dust with temperature 900-1100 K and mass $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$, originates from newly formed dust in the ejecta, or possibly the cool, dense shell, and is continuously heated by the interaction of the ejecta with the pre-existing CSM. A cooler dust-component with a temperature of $\sim 300$ K was also observed in SN2005ip, which they suggested originated from heated dust.

For the Type IIn SN2010jl, Andrews et al. (2011) estimated the temperature of its pre-existing CSM dust shell to be $\sim 750$ K on day 90, which is comparable to the dust temperature we estimate for SN2020oi on day 63. Gall et al. (2014) suggested that the formation of dust between days 40 and 240 occurs in its dense circumstellar medium of SN2010jl. However, the theoretical model by Sarangi et al. (2018), which included the heating of the post-shock gas, indicated that dust formation could only commence after day $\sim 380$ after the radiation from the shock weakens for the case of a Type IIn SN. We conclude that the observed dust in SN2020oi may be pre-existing, radiatively heated dust from a circumstellar shell.

**IR echo:** An alternative interpretation of the dust emission is that it is due to an infrared echo (see Bode & Evans 1980a,b). The SN outburst heats the pre-existing dust (the dust shell of the progenitor or interstellar dust in the vicinity of the SN) emits IR in moving features. Spitzer detected an IR echo in SN2004et with a temperature of $115 \pm 15$ K from the ISM dust of the host galaxy (Kotak et al. 2009). The dust knots producing the echo in the young SNR Cas A show a similar temperature, $\sim 150$ K (Dwek & Arendt 2008). Graham & Meikle (1986) shows how to discriminate between dust condensation and an IR echo: from the color temperature of the dust to determine the distance, and hence the speed of recession, of the dust from the SN. Light-travel-time effects result in the bulk of the observed IR dust emission arising at the vertex of a paraboloid of revolution with focus at the SN, from which the vertex recedes at speed $c/2$, with $c$ being the light speed (Graham & Meikle 1986; Dwek & Arendt 2008). The grain temperature at the vertex may in principle have any value up to the grain vaporization temperature (e.g., Graham & Meikle 1986), find the initial dust temperature for SN 1982e to be $\sim 1300$ K. The dust temperature of IR echo depends on the SN burst luminosity and properties of pre-existing dust which produce diverse spectral energy distributions (Dwek & Arendt 2008). The dust temperature of 810 K observed in SN2020oi is therefore not inconsistent with an infrared echo.

In summary, the fact that the observed dust temperature is consistent with the equilibrium dust temperature from the SN raises the possibility that the rising continuum can come from newly formed dust either in the ejecta or in circumstellar knots. However, heated dust from a circumstellar shell or an IR echo is still a plausible explanation for the rising continuum. Distinguishing between dust freshly formed in the SN ejecta, an IR echo, and dust formed in a pre-existing CSM shell requires a higher sampling of dust emission with time. For heated dust, one would observe the dust temperature increases with time. More frequent sampling of IR spectra between day $\sim 20$ and $\sim 63$ may be able to determine the changes in the dust temperature unambiguously. This, combined with a pathway and diagnostics of CO and
SiO features from NIR/MIR observations as described in the Appendix are crucial for advancing understanding of molecule formation/destruction and dust evolution in ccSNe, which will be investigated in greater detail and to later (fainter) stages of evolution during the era of JWST.

Figure 13. Velocity profiles and temporal evolution of IR Ca ii line (panel a) at 8567 Å and Fe ii at 5169 Å (panel b) in SN2020bvc. Color coding (panels a and b) is as in Figure 6. The absorption minima of Ca ii are at velocities -60,600, -26,800, -17,900, -16,800 and -16,700 km s\(^{-1}\) for days 1, 21, 46, 58, 73, respectively. The temporal changes of the Fe ii at 5169 Å velocity are shown in Figure 14. Panel (c); Zoomed version of velocity profiles of the 8567 Å Ca ii (yellow) and Fe ii at 5169Å (green) showing absorption feature at similar velocity. The dotted lines are at velocities of -65,000 and -38,000 km s\(^{-1}\).

4.2. Spectral Evolution of Type Ic and Ic-BL

4.2.1. Velocity Profiles of Type Ic SN2020oi

Many spectral lines, including multiple atomic line features of Fe ii and Si ii, appeared in the optical band (Figure 6). It is often difficult to determine if the spectra features are due to different atomic lines or due to temporal velocity changes of the same line. Therefore, we use the strong absorption feature produced by the IR Ca ii triplet at 0.8567 \(\mu\)m, which appears both in the optical and NIR spectra (see Figures 6 and 7) as a guide. Figures 12a and 12b show the velocity profiles and temporal evolution of the Ca ii triplet. We also show the velocity profiles of S i at 1.046 \(\mu\)m and temporal changes in Figure 12c.

We estimated velocities at the absorption dip (at minimum intensity) by fitting multiple-Gaussian components as needed; the components include both absorption and emission features. The velocity of the Ca ii (S i) peak absorption changes from -22,700 to -15,700 km s\(^{-1}\), a change of 7,000 (5,400) km s\(^{-1}\) between the explosion and day 14, but between day 14 and day 63 the velocity changes only by 4,400 (2,300) km s\(^{-1}\) using near-IR spectra complimented by the optical spectrum at day 3 in Figures 12a and 12c. The optical spectra in Figure 12b confirm this trend. Since the optical spectra have a denser time-sampling, they further constrain the steepness of velocity change to be maximum at day 12, which corresponds to the brightness peak (\(V_{max}\)).

4.2.2. Type Ic-BL SN2020bvc: Ca ii and Fe ii Velocities

SN Ic-BL are classified by their broad lines and high expansion velocities (i.e., 15,000 to 25,000 km s\(^{-1}\) at maximum light; Modjaz et al. 2016) compared to those of normal SN Ic. SNe Ic-BL are the only supernova type associated with GRBs (Woosley & Bloom 2006a; Modjaz 2011; Cano et al. 2017), indicating that their jet may be the origins of the high ejecta velocities (Barnes et al. 2018). When their jets fail to break out of the progenitors’ envelopes, they can release sufficient energy, to produce the high expansion velocities characteristic of SNe Ic-BL (Lazzati et al. 2012). However, not all SN Ic-BL are associated with observed GRBs. It is an open question as to whether there are different progenitor/explosion scenarios, or if the GRBs are sometimes simply missed due to their being off-axis, or undetected due to sensitivity limitations (see Modjaz et al. 2016, and references therein).

We have used the IR Ca ii triplet absorption to determine the expansion velocities of SN2020bvc, as we have done for SN2020oi. SN2020bvc shows a higher velocity change between days 1 and 20 than at later times. The first optical spectrum (day 1) shows an absorption centered at \(~6850\) Å (see Figure 6) which corresponds to an expansion velocity of \(~60,000\) km s\(^{-1}\) for the Ca ii triplets at 8567 Å (see Figure 13c). The temporal evolution of the triplet is shown in Figure 13a. The change in velocity between day 1 and 20 is \(~34,000\) km s\(^{-1}\), while from day 20 to 73 it is \(~10,000\) km s\(^{-1}\). Such high expansion velocities in SN2020bvc have also been reported by Izzo et al. (2020) and Ho et al. (2020c), using the Ca ii triplet absorption feature in the same FLOYDS spectrum (available in TNS) on day 1. Significant velocity changes in eight optical spectra taken during the pre-max stage are also shown by Ho et al. (2020c), who report the change of 32,000 km s\(^{-1}\) in expansion velocity between day 0.76 and 12.5. Their results are consistent with our findings. The separation between the absorption minimum and the emission peak of Ca ii triplet in SN2020bvc is about 13,000 – 15,000.
km s$^{-1}$ at late times, which is comparable to that in the Type Ic SN2020oi. The blue-shifted lines indicate high velocities, up to 60,000 km s$^{-1}$ for SN2020bvc and 20,000 km s$^{-1}$ for SN2020oi, and the expansion velocity rapidly declines before the optical maximum. After the optical maximum (e.g., $V_{\text{max}}$), the velocity slowly declines in both SNe.

The temporal changes of the velocity profiles from the absorption minima of 5169 Å Fe$\text{ii}$ are shown in Figure 13b. The optical spectrum at 10d includes this Fe$\text{ii}$ line. The evolution of the profile is similar to that of the Ca$\text{ii}$ feature. We measured the velocity of the blended Fe$\text{ii}$ 5169 Å absorption line of SN 2020bvc using the method developed by Modjaz et al. (2016), which uses emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), a Monte Carlo Markov Chain sampler, and accounts for both blueshift and broadening of the lines. The high velocities derived from Fe$\text{ii}$ lines shown in Figure 14 are in good agreement with those from the Ca$\text{ii}$ triplet in Figure 13a. Figure 14 shows the temporal changes in the Fe$\text{ii}$ 5169 Å absorption velocities in SN2020bvc, and compares them with a large sample of SNe Ic-BL (Modjaz et al. 2016). The high velocities of SN2020bvc are in good agreement with those SNe Ic-BL associated with GRBs than those with Type Ic-BL without associated GRBs. If SNe Ic-BL without associated GRBs are off-axis GRBs, our results indicate that the on-axis-unobserved GRB scenario is more appropriate for SN 2020bvc, which is supported by the work by Ho et al. (2020). In contrast, Izzo et al. (2020) suggest an off-axis GRB for SN2020bvc because of lack of early X-ray detection. Based on the analysis of the expansion velocity in SN2020bvc, we favor an on-axis-unobserved GRB over an off-axis GRB scenario.

4.3. SN2020bvc Light Curves and Their Implication

The light curves of SN 2020bvc in the V and R bands are compared with SN1998bw and SN2006aj in Figure 15 and their progenitor and explosion parameters are compared in Table 2. The light curves of SN 2020bvc at V and R have early bright peaks as shown in Figure 5 (see Ho et al. 2020c). Such early first peaks are not usually found in SN Ic-BL, (SN 2006aj shows a similar first peak in V). The overall SN parameters (energy, ejecta mass, and nickel mass) of SN 2020bvc are comparable to those of SN 1998bw and the light curves of SN2020bvc are similar to those of SN1998bw. The light curve of SN2006aj shows a more rapid decline following the peak than either SN2020bvc or SN1998bw.

Our best-fit model parameters for SN2020bvc ($E_K = 1.1 \times 10^{52}$ erg, $M_{\text{ej}} = 6.36 M_\odot$, $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.4 M_\odot$) are comparable to SN 1998bw, in general ($M_{\text{ej}} \sim 6.8$, $M_{\text{Ni}} \sim 0.4$, $E_K \sim 2 \times 10^{52}$ erg; e.g., Cano 2013, see Table 2). The nickel mass largely determines the peak brightness and does not sensitively depend on the ejecta mass and energy, assuming that there is no other power source such as a magnetar (Maeda et al. 2007). The energy and ejecta mass, together with the nickel mass determined from the peak luminosity, give the width of the light curve. A degeneracy exists between $E_K$ and $M_{\text{ej}}$, and thus our model is not a unique solution. For example, the light curve of SN 2020bvc might also be explained...
by a smaller ejecta mass and energy for the same nickel mass.

In a test model, we have applied the SN parameters (see Table 2) derived by Ho et al. (2020c), who give a smaller explosion energy and ejecta and nickel masses (i.e., $E_{\exp} = 3 \times 10^{51}$ erg, $M_{\exp} = 1.0 M_\odot$, and $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.11 M_\odot$). We find that in all bands and all times the light resulting from Ho’s parameters is much fainter than the observed light curves. The Ni mass of 0.11 $M_\odot$ is too small to explain the observed optical brightness where the difference is up to 1.5 magnitudes. The ejecta mass of 1.0 $M_\odot$ and the energy of $3 \times 10^{51}$ erg result in too narrow light curves to explain the broad light curve.

There are only about a dozen published Stripped SNe (i.e., SNe of Types IIb, Ib, Ic, and Ic-BL) that show double-peak light curves (see Figure 4 Modjaz et al. 2019). As we have modeled the light curves of SN2020bvc, the interaction with an extended CSM shell around the progenitor (e.g., Piro 2015) can reproduce the first peak, which is caused by shock cooling emission of the CSM heated by the SN shock. Our estimated CSM mass $M_{\text{CSM}} = 0.1 M_\odot$ within the region of $R_{\text{CSM}} = 10^{14}$ cm implies that the progenitor experienced a mass eruption with $M < 1.0 (v_p/100 [\text{km s}^{-1}]) M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ shortly before the SN explosion (i.e., $t < 1.0$ yr) with the CSM material in a confined region ($\sim 10^{14}$ cm). This high mass-loss rate is difficult to reconcile with the previously suggested scenarios for pre-SN mass loss from stripped-envelope SN progenitors (e.g., Fuller & Ro 2018; Aguilera-Dena et al. 2018). A luminous blue-variable (LBV) star’s present-day mass-loss rate is typically about $10^{-3} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. However, during a certain time-period of Eta Carinae, the mass-loss rate was much higher, at about 1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (Smith et al. 2003; Smith & Ferland 2007), which is approximately the same order of magnitude as the inferred high mass-loss rate in SN2020bvc.

There are two other possible scenarios to explain the bright first peak. It might result from an expansion of the progenitor’s outer region during the pre-SN stage due to an energy injection from the inner convective layers (Fuller & Ro 2018). Ho et al. (2020c) suggest that the first peak is due to shock-cooling emission (Nakar & Piro 2014) from extended low-mass material (mass $M < 10^{-2} M_\odot$ at radius $R > 10^{12}$ cm). Alternatively, the first peak may be caused by the interaction with a binary companion, similar to the case of SNe Ia (Kasen 2010). A more precise determination of SN parameters would require an exploration of the full parameter space, which is outside of this paper’s scope and will be the subject of future work. SN2020bvc is a valuable addition to the sample of SNe with double peaks.

![Figure 16. Classification of SN2020oi (purple ‘X’) is shown in the phase space presented in Williamson et al. (2019). PC1 (Principle Component 1) and PC3 are Principle Component decompositions from the mean spectra of many Type Ic SNe over 0-5 days after $t_{V(\text{max})}$ and 5-15 days, respectively. The colored regions are determined by a support vector machine trained on a principal component decomposition of a stripped-envelope supernova dataset. Ellipses mark the regions within one standard deviation for each subtype. SNe that are two standard deviation outliers are marked with stars and labeled. SN2020oi is located between the SN Ic (red) and SN Ib (blue) regions.

4.4. SN2020oi Classification and Helium

SN 2020oi is classified as Ic based on the absence of hydrogen features in its spectra and the lack of strong helium lines typical of a SN Ib. The distinction between Type Ic and Type Ib SNe is based on the presence of helium. However, helium may be hidden in SN Ib, and the difference may be to what extent radioactive nickel is sufficiently mixed into the He layers (Wheeler et al. 1987). In order to compare SN2020oi to the SN Ic population to understand how “typical” it is, we compare its spectra at multiple phases with the SN Ic mean spectral templates produced by Liu et al. (2016).

In the SN2020oi spectra, we examined absorption features that could be consistent with He i 5875 and 1.0831 μm. However, these lines are commonly contaminated with Na i D and Si i, respectively. The IR He i line at 2.0587 μm is a much more precise indicator of He abundance in SNe ejecta (Chugai 1990; Patat et al. 2001; Modjaz et al. 2009). With the assumption that the spectral features near the wavelength of this line are indeed produced by He i, we present velocity profiles of the line in Figure 12d. The profiles are consistent with an ab-
sorption line at an expansion velocity \( \approx 10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), which is typical of the Ca\( ii \) and Si, as shown in Figure 12, although the putative He\( i \) absorption trough is not as strong as in bona fide SNe Ib (e.g., SN 2008D; see Figure 14 of Modjaz et al. 2009). Figure 12d hints temporal changes of the velocities of He\( i \). The optical He\( i \) 5875 line profile also is consistent, with a similar expansion velocity after day 12 in Figure 6 (this He line is marked on the spectrum on day 49 in Figure 17.)

To classify SN2020oi we have applied the principal component and support vector machine method (see Williamson et al. 2019, for details). The results are shown in Figure 16. We find that SN2020oi is consistent with the SN Ic population at the 1\( \sigma \) level, but that it is near the boundary between the SN Ic (red) and SN Ib (blue) regions. In particular, two of the top five spectral matches (matches are nearest neighbor spectra in the Principle Component Analysis (PCA) phase space) are the peculiar SNe Ib SN2007uy and SN2009er. These two SNe have weaker He lines than the typical SNe Ib (Modjaz et al. 2014) along with broader features at higher velocities than the typical Ib.

It is challenging to confidently identify the lines (and infer abundances) in stripped-envelope supernovae without full radiative transfer simulations because of the large line shifts caused by high expansion velocities. Multiple groups have produced synthetic models of SN Ic spectra, including ones with small amounts of He (i.e., \(< 0.1 \, M_\odot \)) that are consistent with observations (Dessart et al. 2012; Hachinger et al. 2012, Williamson et al. 2020, in prep.). However, so far, the synthetic spectral models of SNe have been limited to optical spectra. Expanding the wavelength coverage to the near-IR should allow most of the observed atomic lines. However including molecule formation, and possibly including a dust model, would be required to reproduce the CO features, and the rising K-band continuum such as is seen in SN2020oi at day 63 (see Figure 7). Efforts in at least some of those directions will be the focus of future research.

4.5. Spectral Evolution and Comparison with Other SNe

4.5.1. Type Ic SN2020oi

In Figure 17 the spectra of SN2020oi are compared with two other Type Ic SNe, SN2007gr and SN1994I, and those of SN2020bvc with two Ic-BL SNe, SN1998bw and SN2006aj. Here the day numbers for each spectrum at right in the figure are days after the maximum light (for example, in B band for SN2007gr; Hunter et al. 2009). To make the number of days compared to those SN2020oi, we added 11.5 days from the B\(_{\text{max}}\) day, which was an approximation.

Overall the spectra of SN2020oi are similar to those of SN2007gr, but at later epochs (> 25 days), SN2020oi has weaker lines than SN2007, including those of Fe around \( \approx 4800 \, \text{Å} \), O\( i \) (at 7774 Å) and C\( i \) (at 8727 Å), marked on the spectra of day 29 in Figure 17. The progenitor parameters derived from the light curves also show that
SN2020oi has an ejecta mass of 0.71 $M_\odot$, lower than the 2–3 $M_\odot$ for SN2007gr (see Table 2), although the Ni masses of both SNe are $\sim 0.07 M_\odot$. Strong Fe lines in optical band are suggested to be due to Fe clumping (Mazzali et al. 2010).

The four SN1994I spectra shown in Figure 17 are remarkably similar to those of SN2020oi except for a slight difference in velocity shifts. However, after day 40, the velocities are comparable. The spectra between SN2020oi at day 25 are very similar to that of SN1994I at day 23 except for the strength of the Na I D line caused by different amounts of interstellar gas on their sightlines. This confirms the finding of similarity between SN2020oi and SN1994I from the light curves in Section 3.2 and the CO emission analysis in Section 4.1. SN1994I has commonly been considered a standard example of Type Ic. SN2020oi is the second, such standard example. We encourage the development of more comprehensive hydrodynamical models in order to create a rich set of model spectra for future studies of elemental abundances and mixing.

4.5.2. Type IC-BL SN2020bvc

Figure 17 shows that the spectra of SN2020bvc are similar to those of another Ic-BL, SN1998bw. In particular, the spectrum at day 20 of SN2020bvc is nearly identical to that of SN1998bw at day 21, including the peaks of the Fe II and Ca II absorption features. SN1998bw shows a $\sim 35,000$ km s$^{-1}$ blueshifted line, similar to what is observed in SN2020bvc. In SN1998bw, at the intermediate phase between photospheric and fully nebular phase, the expansion velocities ($\sim 10^4$ km s$^{-1}$) remained exceptionally high compared to those of other recorded ccSNe at similar phases (Patat et al. 2001). The velocity change in SN2020bvc is also $\sim 10,000$ km s$^{-1}$, again comparable to those in SN1998bw. However, after day 20, while the spectral shape of SN2020bvc remains unchanged, SN1998bw shows noticeable changes in its spectra; some lines become more prominent while the widths of the main lines become narrower. The line broadening remains in SN2020bvc the same (about $\sim 20,000$ km s$^{-1}$) until our final spectrum at day 73. In SN1998bw, more lines appeared, including nebular lines, which became noticeable from day 51 (see spectra at day 56 and 76 in Figure 17). The spectrum of SN1998bw became more similar to typical Type Ic at later times, but this is not the case for SN2020bvc, as shown in Figure 17. The properties of the explosion and the progenitor derived for SN2020bvc and SN1998bw are comparable; both have an explosion energy of $10^{52}$ erg. There are some additional differences between the two SNe, though. Some He weak lines in SN1998bw are identified (Patat et al. 2001), while the presence of He lines in SN2020bvc is unclear. Most importantly, SN2020bvc does not have an identified $\gamma$-ray counterpart so far, whereas SN1998bw is coincident with GRB 980425. According to Woosley et al. (1999) its $\gamma$-ray emission is due to shock breakout and relativistic shock deceleration in circumstellar material in a highly asymmetric explosion.

The spectral comparison between SN2020bvc (day 20) and SN2006aj (day 26) in Figure 17 shows that they have similar broadened line patterns (the widths of the lines are comparable). Interestingly, the blueshifts in SN2006aj are much smaller than those of SN2020bvc. Optical spectra of SN2006aj do not show high-velocity lines such as the ones in SN2020bvc (Modjaz et al. 2006; Waxman et al. 2007).

The light curve and spectral comparison described above show that SN2020bvc is more similar to SN1998bw than SN2006aj. Thus, our results suggest that the explosion and progenitor parameters of SN2020bvc and SN1998bw are different from SN2006aj (Table 2) with larger explosion energies, progenitor stars, and Ni masses.

The temporal behaviors of the spectral shape and line profiles in SN2020bvc are very similar to those of Ic-BL SN1998bw. Our findings that SN2020bvc and SN1998bw have very similar light curves, explosion parameters, and high expansion velocities, while the nearby SN1998bw had an observed GRB of very low luminosity, are consistent with the suggestion by Ho et al. (2020c) that SN2020bvc may also have had an associated GRB which was not found by GRB satellites.

CONCLUSION

1. We have presented near-infrared and optical observations of the Type Ic SN2020oi in the galaxy M100 and the broad-lined Type Ic SN 2020bvc in UGC 09379, using Gemini, Las Cumbres Observatory, SOAR, and other ground-based telescopes. The light curves of SN2020oi cover $\sim 100$ days after the explosion and for 80 days after the explosion for SN2020bvc. We present an analysis of 13 optical spectra and four near-IR spectra of SN2020oi, and eight optical spectra and one near-IR spectrum of SN2020bvc. These two explosions provide a rare opportunity to compare their temporal and spectral evolutions of Ic and Ic-BL. The spectra of both SN2020oi and SN2020bvc exhibit dominant emission lines from Fe II, Si II, Ca II, C I, S I and Si I.

2. The light curves of SN2020oi show a gradual increase over the first ten days since the explosion and a rapid decrease for 25 days. At later times the light curves show slight decreases. Calculations performed using the one-dimensional multi-group radiation hydrody-
nematics code STELLA imply that SN2020oi has a canonical explosion energy, a Ni mass of 0.07 M\(_\odot\), and an ejecta mass of 0.7 M\(_\odot\), and is remarkably similar to SN 1994I.

3. In the Type Ic SN2020oi, CO emission is detected at day 63. The CO emission profile is featureless, presumably due to the emission occurring over a wide velocity range. The CO emission appears to be in transition from the optically thick to the optically thin regime, the latter phase allowing more effective cooling of the deeper, dense layers. The CO mass is greater than 10\(^{-3}\) M\(_\odot\), and the CO temperature is 3000 - 3300 K; a fully mixed model is required to reproduce the observed CO features.

4. The near-IR spectrum of SN2020oi at day 63 also reveals a rising continuum in the K band, which must be due to emission by heated dust, at temperature of \(\sim 800\) K and a mass of 10\(^{-5}\) M\(_\odot\). This the first detection of dust in a Type Ic SN. We explore scenarios for creating dust in the SN ejecta or in the circumstellar medium (CSM), heating pre-existing CSM dust or emission via an infrared echo as explanations of the rising continuum. The fact that the observed dust temperature is consistent with the equilibrium dust temperature from the SN raises the possibility that the rising continuum can come from newly formed dust either in the ejecta or in circumstellar knots. However, heated dust from a circumstellar shell or an IR echo is still a plausible explanation for the rising continuum.

5. A new STELLA SN model consisting of a helium-poor CO star of \(M = 8.26\) M\(_\odot\), corresponding to an initial mass of 40 - 50 M\(_\odot\), explosion energy of \(E_{\text{exp}} = 12 \times 10^{51}\) erg and a radioactive nickel mass of 0.4 M\(_\odot\) produces a reasonably good fit for the main peak and the light curves of SN2020bvc. The Ni in the SN is assumed to be uniformly mixed. A model with the progenitor surrounded by massive circumstellar matter can reproduce the first-peak of the light curves. The light curve and explosion parameters are similar to SN 1998bw.

6. Temporal changes of the blueshifted absorption lines, which are most noticeable in the near IR Ca\(\Pi\) triplet and Si\(\II\) lines, are observed in both SN2020oi and SN2020bvc. These blueshifted absorptions are at high velocities, up to 60,000 km s\(^{-1}\) for SN2020bvc and 20,000 km s\(^{-1}\) for SN2020oi during pre-maximum. The expansion velocities thereafter decrease to \(\sim 6000 - 10,000\) km s\(^{-1}\) in both SNe. The overall shape of SN2020bvc spectrum after day 20 remains surprisingly unchanged up to day 73. The temporal behavior of SN2020bvc in terms of spectral shape and line profiles are very similar to those of Ic-BL SN1998bw.

7. We show a potential helium absorption in the SN2020oi spectra. However, our PCA analysis, compared with many other Type Ic SNe, shows that SN2020oi is still consistent with the SN Ic population.
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APPENDIX

A. DIAGNOSTICS AND SENSITIVITIES OF MOLECULAR BANDS

In this Appendix, we demonstrate the diagnostics and their sense of observational signatures for conditions and abundances found in SNe Ic, i.e., C/O/Si-rich mixtures. We assume a thermal radiation field and neglect time-dependence of the formation because it will change the flux but hardly the opacity profiles. This allows linking results from SN2020oi to many future SNe of ccSNe with ground-based near-IR and mid-IR observations such as JWST.

For the dependence of the density and velocity dispersion, we refer to studies cited in Section 4.1.2. In Figure 18, we demonstrate the sensitivity of the temperature, abundances for (C/O/Si)-rich compositions with abundance ratios of (1:1:0) and (1:2:1), a particle abundance of $5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and a velocity dispersion of 1000 km s$^{-1}$ typically found in SN Ic envelopes. The influence of non-thermal ionization by radioactive decay is parameterized by the $^{+}CO/CO$ ratio.

In the near-IR and for temperatures $T$ below 5000K, the opacity of the 1st CO and CO$^{+}$ overtones decrease rapidly with $T$ and, under SN Ic conditions, produce optically thick features. Below $T \leq 2000K$, the first and second vibrational modes dominate (Fig. 18). Note that second vibrational mode is strong because of its statistical weight.

Non-thermal excitation has three signatures in the Near-IR: a) CO$^{+}$ adds a blue-shifted emission component, b) the peak of the emission rises to the 3rd component in the spectra even at low $T$, and c) the appearance of a strong 2nd overtone. b) and c) can be used to detect or constrain the amount of mixing in SN Ic. Note that c) requires good signal to noise spectra but it is not sensitive to inhomogeneities and large asphericity effects expected in SNe Ib/c (see above). Moreover, ground-based near-IR spectra allow for significantly better S/N in the H-band compared to the K-band. In practice, a problem is during the semi-transparent phase is line-blending by allowed transitions which are produced in the region inside the CO forming region but dominate the spectra until the nebular phase. Moreover, the wide wavelength range spans both the J to the H-band range making pattern recognition of the different modes problematic for ground-based observations.

The MIR molecule spectra are dominated by the fundamental CO-band. The large opacity allows for detailed studies of the onset of CO-formation be expected to become be optically thick in SNe Ibc with exception of the high-overtones. Thus, MIR observations should cover a wide wavelength range. The change of the opacity profile can be understood in the same way as the overtone bands.

For $T \leq 2000K$ one may expect to see the fundamental band of SiO if the photosphere has receded to the corresponding layers in a massive stellar explosion, or if large asymmetric explosions ‘squeezed-out’ Si as described above. The appearance of an SiO band is a clear indication of low $T$ at the inner layers but, its non-appearance does not imply the lack of large or small scale mixing. Note that the fundamental SiO bands may have been observed in the SN1998S with the Spitzer Space Telescope though, unfortunately, the spectra have been hampered by the order overlap and possibly calibration issues at the red wing (Gerardy et al. 2002).

At low $T$, the 1st SiO overtone is clearly present in compositions with more O than C. It is a probe for large vs. small-scale mixing processes at work. In our example (Fig. 18, lower right panel), its strength is comparable to the 1st CO-overtone which allows the analysis based on its profile. However, it provides a sensitive temperature indicator for at values close to the dust formation temperature.

---

9 by pattern recognition algorithms, the signatures may be recovered, though
Figure 18. Near and mid-IR (1.4 - 10 µm) spectral signatures of the fundamental, 1st and 2nd vibrational bands of CO, CO+ and SiO for C/O layer (a - f) and C/O/Si-rich layer with equal C and Si abundances (g - h), and for a velocity dispersion of 1,000 km s⁻¹. All simulations include CO, CO+ and SiO. In each panel the temperature-dependence of the spectral features is shown. (a - d): The effect of non-thermal excitation on the 1st (a,c) and 2nd overtone (b,d) of CO and CO+ without (a,b) and with (c,d) non-thermal excitation. (e - h): Spectral signatures due to the effect of the abundances. The fundamental CO (e) and 1st overtone CO (f) bands dominate for CO-rich layers but, in addition, the fundamental SiO (g) and 1st overtone SiO (h) bands start to emerge for C/O/Si layers (the second overtone of SiO at ∼28000 Å is too faint to appear). Non-thermal excitation and ionization have been neglected in all models but for those in (c,d) we assumed CO⁺/CO = 1. Note that non-thermal excitation with long recombination and charge-exchange time scales will produce a strong 2nd CO overtone in the NIR and, for temperature ≤2000K, the 1st SiO-overtone produces a ‘wing’ on the short wavelength edge of the fundamental CO-band (h) comparable in strength to the 1st overtone of CO. For more details see the text.