

## Manuscript Details

<b>Manuscript number</b>	EJMSOL_2017_782
<b>Title</b>	Explicit formulation for the Rayleigh wave field induced by surface stresses in an orthorhombic half-plane
<b>Article type</b>	Full Length Article

### Abstract

In this paper we develop an explicit asymptotic model for the Rayleigh wave field arising in case of stresses prescribed on the surface of an orthorhombic elastic half-plane. The model consists of an elliptic equation governing the behaviour within the half-plane, with boundary values given on the half-plane surface by a wave equation. Consequently, propagation along the surface is entirely accounted for by the hyperbolic equation, which, besides, may be immediately recast in terms of the associated surface displacement. The model readily solves otherwise involved dynamic problems for prescribed surface stresses, and its effectiveness is demonstrated for the classical Lamb's problem, as well as for the steady-state moving load problem. The latter example shows that the proposed model is really obtained by perturbation around the steady-state solution for a load moving at the Rayleigh speed.

<b>Keywords</b>	Asymptotic model; Rayleigh wave; Moving load
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Andrea Nobili
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution</b>	Univeristà degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia
<b>Order of Authors</b>	Andrea Nobili, Danila Prikazchikov
<b>Suggested reviewers</b>	Daniel Colquitt, Aleksei Kiselev, Michel Destrade, Vladyslav Danishevskyy

## Submission Files Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

coverLetterNOBILI.pdf [Cover Letter]

rayload.pdf [Manuscript File]

authors\_agreement\_Signed.pdf [Author Agreement]

## Submission Files Not Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

rayload.zip [LaTeX Source File]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

## Research Data Related to this Submission

There are no linked research data sets for this submission. The following reason is given:  
No data was used for the research described in the article



**UNIMORE**

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI  
MODENA E REGGIO EMILIA

November 22th, 2017

Dear Prof. Corigliano,

Please find enclosed, the research paper

“Explicit formulation for the Rayleigh wave field induced by surface stresses in an orthorhombic half-plane”

by

A. Nobili and D. Prikazchikov

submitted for consideration in the European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids.

The paper presents a novel asymptotic formulation aimed at developing the far-field approximation, that is dominated by the Rayleigh field, to elastodynamics problem in a orthorhombic half-plane.

The material is original and it has not been submitted for publications in other journals.

The authors are aware of the ethical guidelines put forward by the journal and fully adhere to it.

The authors are in no conflict of interest regarding the material presented in this paper.

This version of the paper is approved by all authors.

Best regards,

Andrea Nobili

(Corresponding author)

# Explicit formulation for the Rayleigh wave field induced by surface stresses in an orthorhombic half-plane

A. Nobili<sup>a,\*</sup>, Danila A. Prikazchikov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Enzo Ferrari, via Vivarelli 10, 41125 Modena, Italy*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Computing and Mathematics, Keele University, Keele, UK*

---

## Abstract

In this paper we develop an explicit asymptotic model for the Rayleigh wave field arising in case of stresses prescribed on the surface of an orthorhombic elastic half-plane. The model consists of an elliptic equation governing the behaviour within the half-plane, with boundary values given on the half-plane surface by a wave equation. Consequently, propagation along the surface is entirely accounted for by the hyperbolic equation, which, besides, may be immediately recast in terms of the associated surface displacement. The model readily solves otherwise involved dynamic problems for prescribed surface stresses, and its effectiveness is demonstrated for the classical Lamb's problem, as well as for the steady-state moving load problem. The latter example shows that the proposed model is really obtained by perturbation around the steady-state solution for a load moving at the Rayleigh speed.

*Keywords:* Asymptotic model, Rayleigh wave, Moving load

---

## 1. Introduction

Surface waves in anisotropic elastic media have been well investigated on the grounds of their wide range of application in defect detection, waveguide scattering and earthquake analysis. A large degree of interest has been raised by surface waves propagating in crystals, starting from the work of R. Stoneley [28], which generalizes the classical result of Lord Rayleigh concerning isotropic

---

\*Corresponding author

media [30]. Propagation of harmonic surface waves in orthorhombic media was investigated, among others, by Sveklo [31], Chadwick [4] and Destrade [6]. Existence and uniqueness of surface waves in anisotropic media has been provided by Barnett & Lothe [1] on the basis of the Stroh formalism [29].

Most of the literature concerning surface waves really deals with harmonic surface waves of sinusoidal profile. A more general approach to surface waves of arbitrary shape originates from the works of Sobolev [27], Friedlander [10], and Chadwick [3], which consider eigen-solutions in the form of arbitrary plane harmonic functions. Such an approach has been recently extended to 3D as well as to interfacial waves [19, 22]. The effect of anisotropy has also been incorporated, see [23, 25].

Another viewpoint in modelling near-surface dynamics is given in [17], where a hyperbolic-elliptic formulation for the Rayleigh wave induced stresses field is provided. Focus is set on singling out the contribution of Rayleigh waves to the overall dynamics and consequently neglect bulk waves. This approach relies on a slow time perturbation of the eigen-solution obtained in [3]. An important feature of the described formulation takes the shape of a hyperbolic equation for either elastic potential, that is valid over the surface and governs surface wave propagation. The same approach has been extended, for linear isotropic elasticity, to 3D wave propagation, thin coating layers and to mixed boundary conditions [5], [7] and [8]. Besides, interesting results concerning the near-resonant regime for loads moving on an elastic half-space have been reported in [9, 12, 15]. In parallel, parabolic-elliptic models were constructed for bending edge Rayleigh-type waves [16]. Some more results on the asymptotic theories for Rayleigh and Rayleigh-type waves may be found in [13] and [14].

In this paper, we extend the results of [17] to orthorhombic elastic materials. This generalisation is non-trivial due to the fact that anisotropy prevents the Helmholtz decomposition of the displacement field into a longitudinal and a transverse potential. A slow time perturbation procedure is carried out which, at leading order, sustains the representation of the wave field in terms of a single plane harmonic function, see [25]. Consideration of the first correction to




Figure 1: A line load  $\mathbf{f}(x_1, t)$  acting on an orthorhombic half-plane

the leading order solution brings in the hyperbolic equation governing surface displacements. The complete formulation includes an elliptic equation for the auxiliary plane harmonic function, complemented by the boundary condition on the surface in the form of the aforementioned hyperbolic equation. In order to illustrate the efficacy of the asymptotic solution we consider two model examples, namely Lamb's normal load problem and the steady-state moving point load. In the case of Lamb's problem, the result of the asymptotic formulation corresponds to the Rayleigh pole contribution to the solution obtained by means of integral transforms. In the case of a steady-state moving load, it is shown that the exact solution for the subsonic regime is easily obtained in terms of harmonic functions, a fact observed in [2] for isotropic elasticity. As expected, the near-resonant behaviour coincides with that given by the derived hyperbolic-elliptic model.

## 2. Governing equations

Consider an orthorhombic (in a plane problem this is equivalent to transverse isotropy) linear elastic half-plane occupying the region  $\mathcal{E}_2^+ = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_2 \geq 0\}$  of a two-dimensional Euclidean space. In the context of wave propagation, the plane  $(x_1, x_2)$  is often named *the sagittal plane*. The half-plane is acted upon, on its boundary  $x_2 = 0$ , by a line load distribution  $\mathbf{f}(x_1, t)$  (Fig.1). Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)$  be the displacement vector of a material particle with co-ordinates  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  in the half-plane. In light of the half-plane being orthorhombic, its constitutive

equations are taken in planar orthotropic form, see e.g. [11, §2.5],

$$\sigma_{11} = c_{11}\partial_1 u_1 + c_{12}\partial_2 u_2, \quad (1a)$$

$$\sigma_{22} = c_{12}\partial_1 u_1 + c_{22}\partial_2 u_2, \quad (1b)$$

$$\sigma_{12} = c_{66}(\partial_2 u_1 + \partial_1 u_2), \quad (1c)$$

where  $\partial_j$  stands for partial differentiation along  $x_j$  and  $c_{ij}$  are material constants such that

$$c_{11} > 0, \quad c_{66} > 0, \quad c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}^2 > 0, \quad (2)$$

ensuring that the strain-energy density is *positive definite*. We let the shorthand notation

$$\beta = c_{12} + c_{66}. \quad (3)$$

The constitutive parameters  $c_{11}, c_{22}, c_{12}$  and  $c_{66}$  are often expressed in terms of the technical material constants  $E_1, E_2, \nu_{12}, \nu_{21}, G_{12}$ , there holding the reciprocal relation

$$\nu_{12}E_2 = \nu_{21}E_1.$$

For instance, for unidirectionally reinforced glass-epoxy composite material [11, Table 2-3b]

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= 54 \text{ GPa}, & E_2 &= 18 \text{ GPa}, & G_{12} &= c_{66} = 9 \text{ GPa}, \\ \nu_{12} &= 0.25, & \rho &= 1900 \text{ kg/m}^3, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$c_{11} = 55.15 \text{ GPa}, \quad c_{22} = 18.38 \text{ GPa}, \quad c_{12} = 4.60 \text{ GPa}. \quad (4)$$

Following [26], three wave speeds are defined

$$c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{c_{11}}{\rho}}, \quad c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{c_{22}}{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_6 = \sqrt{\frac{c_{66}}{\rho}}, \quad (5)$$

together with the combination

$$c_c = \sqrt{\frac{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}^2}{\rho c_{22}}} < c_1. \quad (6)$$

Motion in the half-plane is governed by the following pair of PDEs

$$c_{11}\partial_{11}^2 u_1 + c_{66}\partial_{22}^2 u_1 + \beta\partial_{12}^2 u_2 = \rho\partial_{tt}^2 u_1, \quad (7a)$$

$$\beta\partial_{12}^2 u_1 + c_{66}\partial_{11}^2 u_2 + c_{22}\partial_{22}^2 u_2 = \rho\partial_{tt}^2 u_2. \quad (7b)$$

The boundary conditions (BCs) on the surface  $x_2 = 0$  are in the form of imposed traction

$$\sigma_{12} = f_1(x_1, t), \quad \sigma_{22} = f_2(x_1, t), \quad (8)$$

where we have let  $\mathbf{f} = -(f_1, f_2)$  to abide with tradition (force positive downwards). Clearly, displacement is expected to decay depth-wise at infinity

$$(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (0, 0), \quad \text{as } x_2 \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (9)$$

Let us focus on waves radiating from the load whose phase speed  $c$  is close to the Rayleigh wave speed  $c_R$ , i.e.

$$\epsilon = \left| \frac{c}{c_R} - 1 \right| \ll 1. \quad (10)$$

Examples of such occurrence include the near-resonance regime of a moving load or a far-field approximation, for more details see [14]. For the sake of definiteness, we consider waves propagating in the positive direction according to the moving co-ordinate  $\xi = x_1 - c_R t$ ,  $c_R > 0$ , associated with the Rayleigh wavefront. Then, a slow time perturbation procedure is readily established, see e.g. [17] and [18], which allows for the following arbitrary profile travelling-wave solution

$$u_j = U_j(\xi, x_2, \tau), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (11)$$

where  $\tau = \epsilon t$  is the so-called slow time.

Plugging this solution into the governing equations (7), one gets the pair of linear PDEs

$$(c_{11} - \rho c_R^2)\partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_1 + c_{66}\partial_{22}^2 U_1 + \beta\partial_{\xi 2}^2 U_2 = \rho\epsilon\partial_\tau (\epsilon\partial_\tau U_1 - 2c_R\partial_\xi U_1), \quad (12a)$$

$$\beta\partial_{\xi 2}^2 U_1 + (c_{66} - \rho c_R^2)\partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_2 + c_{22}\partial_{22}^2 U_2 = \rho\epsilon\partial_\tau (\epsilon\partial_\tau U_2 - 2c_R\partial_\xi U_2). \quad (12b)$$

As well-known, this system of two second order PDEs may be recast in terms of a single fourth order PDE, for instance in terms of  $U_1$ ,

$$a_0 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}^4 U_1 + a_1 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi 2}^4 U_1 + a_2 \partial_{2222}^4 U_1 + \epsilon \partial_{\tau\xi}^2 (b_1 \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_1 + d_1 \partial_{22}^2 U_1) - \epsilon^2 \partial_{\tau\tau}^2 (b_2 \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_1 + d_2 \partial_{22}^2 U_1) - \epsilon^4 b_3 \partial_{\tau\tau\tau\xi}^4 U_1 + \epsilon^6 b_4 \partial_{\tau\tau\tau\tau}^4 U_1 = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the coefficients are

$$a_0 = (c_{11} - \rho c_R^2) (c_{66} - \rho c_R^2), \quad (14a)$$

$$a_1 = c_{11} c_{22} - c_{12}^2 - 2c_{12} c_{66} - (c_{22} + c_{66}) \rho c_R^2, \quad (14b)$$

$$a_2 = c_{22} c_{66}, \quad (14c)$$

$$b_1 = 2\rho c_R [c_{11}\epsilon + c_{66} - (1 + \epsilon)\rho c_R^2], \quad (14d)$$

$$b_2 = \rho [c_{11}\epsilon^2 + c_{66} - (1 + 4\epsilon + \epsilon^2)\rho c_R^2], \quad (14e)$$

$$b_3 = 2(1 + \epsilon)\rho^2 c_R, \quad (14f)$$

$$b_4 = \rho^2, \quad (14g)$$

$$d_1 = 2(c_{22} + c_{66}\epsilon)\rho c_R, \quad (14h)$$

$$d_2 = (c_{22} + c_{66}\epsilon^2)\rho. \quad (14i)$$

Once  $U_1$  is determined,  $\partial_{\xi 2}^2 U_2$  may be readily found from Eq.(12a) and possibly integrated. Similarly, boundary conditions (8) give, at  $x_2 = 0$ ,

$$c_{66} (\partial_{\xi} U_2 + \partial_2 U_1) = f_1, \quad (15a)$$

$$c_{12} \partial_{\xi} U_1 + c_{22} \partial_2 U_2 = f_2. \quad (15b)$$

### 3. Two-term solution

We begin by expanding displacements as asymptotic series

$$U_j(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \epsilon^{-1} U_j^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) + U_j^{(1)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) + \epsilon U_j^{(2)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) + \dots,$$

where  $j = 1, 2$ . It should be emphasized that the presence of the leading terms of order  $\epsilon^{-1}$  is due to the near-resonant nature of the excitation, given that a homogeneous problem is expected at leading order [14].



### 3.1. Leading order analysis

At leading order, Eq.(13) reduces to the homogeneous fourth-order pseudo-static PDE already discussed in [25, Eq.(8)], namely

$$(a_0 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}^4 + a_1 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi 22}^4 + a_2 \partial_{2222}^4) U_1^{(0)} = 0, \quad (16)$$

while Eq.(12a) gives

$$\beta \partial_{\xi 2}^2 U_2^{(0)} = (\rho c_R^2 - c_{11}) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_1 - c_{66} \partial_{22}^2 U_1. \quad (17)$$

Eq.(16) may be formally rewritten in operator form as the product of two second-order bi-dimensional Laplace operators<sup>1</sup>, suitably stretched along the  $x_2$  coordinate,

$$a_2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 U_1^{(0)} = 0, \quad (18)$$

being

$$\Delta_j = (\partial_{22}^2 + \lambda_j^2 \partial_{\xi\xi}^2), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and where the dimensionless coefficients  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are obtained from solving

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = \frac{a_1}{a_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 = \frac{a_0}{a_2}. \quad (19)$$

In the general case,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are solution of the secular equation for Rayleigh wave propagating in orthorhombic crystals (see [26, §5.3.3.1] and observe that  $\lambda_j$  here is  $\chi_j = \nu q_j$  there). In this paper, we restrict attention to the case when  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are positive real numbers, which requires  $(a_0, a_1) > 0$ , with this implying

$$c_R < \min(c_6, c_h), \quad c_h = \sqrt{\frac{c_c^2 - 2c_6^2 \frac{c_{12}}{c_{22}}}{1 + \frac{c_{66}}{c_{22}}}} < c_c. \quad (20)$$

The solution of Eq.(18) may be obtained superposing a pair of plane harmonic functions (with physical dimension of length), stretched along  $x_2$  according to the factors  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  [25, Eq.(12)] and decaying along  $x_2$  to comply with conditions (9),

$$U_1^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) + \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2, \tau). \quad (21)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This factorizing is most often met in dealing with the bi-harmonic operator of plate theory, cfr.[21].

This solution may be inserted into Eq.(17) to give

$$U_2^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \alpha_1 \phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) + \alpha_2 \phi_2^{(0)*}(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2, \tau), \quad (22)$$

having let the dimensionless coefficients

$$\alpha_j = \frac{c_{66}\lambda_j^2 + \rho c_R^2 - c_{11}}{\beta\lambda_j} < 0. \quad (23)$$

Here, calling upon the harmonic character of  $\phi_j^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_j x_2, \tau)$ , use has been made of the Cauchy-Riemann identities according to which we have (no summation over  $j = 1, 2$  is implied)

$$\partial_\xi \phi_j^{(0)} = \lambda_j^{-1} \partial_2 \phi_j^{(0)*}, \quad \partial_2 \phi_j^{(0)} = -\lambda_j \partial_\xi \phi_j^{(0)*}, \quad (24)$$

where a superscript asterisk denotes the harmonic conjugated function. We recall that the operation of harmonic conjugation is an involution, in the sense that

$$\phi_j^{(0)**} = -\phi_j^{(0)}.$$

Plugging the solutions (21) and (22) into the BCs (15) gives, at  $x_2 = 0$ ,

$$(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1) \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(0)*} + (\alpha_2 - \lambda_2) \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(0)*} = 0, \quad (25a)$$

$$(c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1) \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(0)} + (c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_2\lambda_2) \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(0)} = 0. \quad (25b)$$

Taking harmonic conjugation of Eq.(25a), a homogeneous algebraic linear system in the unknowns  $\partial_\xi \phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau)$  and  $\partial_\xi \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau)$  is found, which admits non-trivial solutions provided that  $c_R$  satisfies the Rayleigh wave speed equation, namely

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 - \lambda_1 & \alpha_2 - \lambda_2 \\ c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1 & c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_2\lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Expanding the determinant and making use of Eqs.(19), we get the velocity equation

$$R(c^2) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where  $R(c^2)$  is the Rayleigh function

$$R(c^2) = [c_{22}(c_{11} - \rho c^2) - c_{12}^2] \sqrt{\frac{(c_{11} - \rho c^2)(c_{66} - \rho c^2)}{c_{22}c_{66}}} - \rho c^2 (c_{11} - \rho c^2), \quad (27)$$

which possesses a unique real solution,  $c = c_R < c_1$ . Indeed, Eq.(26) may be rewritten as (see also [26, §5.3.3.1])

$$c_6^2(c_1^2 - c^2)c^4 - c_2^2(c_6^2 - c^2)(c_c^2 - c^2)^2 = 0,$$

which shows that the root  $c = c_R$  satisfies

$$c_R < \min(c_6, c_c). \quad (28)$$

We observe that this property is already implied by the requirement that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be real, through the condition (20). For instance, for the parameter set (4), there are several roots of Eq.(26), although only the first one complies with the constraints (20) and (28), namely

$$c_R = 2084.13 \text{ m/s.}$$

Furthermore, either of the boundary conditions (25), once integrated along  $\xi$ , yields a connection between  $\phi_1^{(0)}$  and  $\phi_2^{(0)}$  on the surface  $x_2 = 0$  (cfr.[25, Eq.(18)])

$$\phi_2^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau) = -\vartheta\phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\vartheta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \lambda_1}{\alpha_2 - \lambda_2} = \frac{c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1}{c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_2\lambda_2}.$$

Consequently, since the harmonic functions  $\phi_2^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau)$  and  $-\vartheta\phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau)$  coincide on the boundary  $x_2 = 0$ , they coincide throughout and, therefore, the displacement field may be conveniently expressed in terms of a single plane harmonic function, say  $\phi_1^{(0)}$ , as

$$U_1^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) - \vartheta\phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2, \tau), \quad (30a)$$

$$U_2^{(0)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \alpha_1\phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) - \vartheta\alpha_2\phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2, \tau). \quad (30b)$$

Thus, the leading order analysis brings out the eigensolution for the Rayleigh wave field, already discussed in [25].

### 3.2. First order correction

Following [17], now consider the first order correction to the leading order solution. Proceeding to the next order, Eq.(13) gives

$$a_2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 U_1^{(1)} = -2\rho c_R [(c_{11} + c_{66} - 2\rho c_R^2) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 + (c_{22} + c_{66}) \partial_{22}^2] \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 U_1^{(0)}, \quad (31)$$

while Eq.(12a) lends

$$\beta \partial_{\xi^2}^2 U_2^{(1)} = (\rho c_R^2 - c_{11}) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_1^{(1)} - c_{66} \partial_{22}^2 U_1^{(1)} - 2\rho c_R \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 U_1^{(0)}. \quad (32)$$

Clearly,  $U_1^{(1)}$  may be found from Eq.(31) as the sum of the solution to the homogeneous equation

$$\Delta_1 \Delta_2 U^{(1)} = 0,$$

which, as for the leading order problem, is readily obtained through superposition of a pair of plane harmonic functions  $\phi_1^{(1)}$  and  $\phi_2^{(1)}$ , with any particular solution  $U_{1p}^{(1)}$  of the inhomogeneous equation. In order to find the latter, we exploit linearity and consider the functions  $\phi_1^{(0)}$  and  $\phi_2^{(0)}$  appearing in Eq.(21) one at a time. Thus, we let  $U_{1p}^{(1)} = U_{1a}^{(1)} + U_{1b}^{(1)}$ , where  $U_{1a}^{(1)}$  is the particular solution of Eq.(31) where  $U_1^{(0)}$  has been replaced by  $\phi_1^{(0)}$  and, similarly,  $U_{1b}^{(1)}$  is the particular solution of the same equation in which  $U_1^{(0)}$  has been substituted with  $\phi_2^{(0)}$ . Thus, for the first contribution, making use of the Cauchy-Riemann identities (24), we get

$$a_2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 U_{1a}^{(1)} = e_1 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi\tau}^4 \phi_1^{(0)}, \quad (33)$$

wherein

$$e_1 = -2\rho c_R [c_{11} + c_{66} - (c_{22} + c_{66})\lambda_1^2 - 2\rho c_R^2].$$

The same linear decomposition goes with  $U_{2p}^{(1)} = U_{2a}^{(1)} + U_{2b}^{(1)}$  in Eq.(32) and, for the first contribution, we have

$$\beta \partial_{\xi^2}^2 U_{2a}^{(1)} = (\rho c_R^2 - c_{11}) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_{1a}^{(1)} - c_{66} \partial_{22}^2 U_{1a}^{(1)} - 2\rho c_R \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_1^{(0)}. \quad (34)$$

The particular solution of Eq.(33) may be conveniently sought in the form<sup>2</sup>

$$U_{1a}^{(1)} = x_2 \psi_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) \quad (35)$$

where  $\psi_1$  is harmonic in the first two arguments. Then, noting that

$$\Delta_1 U_{1a}^{(1)} = 2\partial_2 \psi_1,$$

and, using once more the Cauchy-Riemann identities, we have, from Eq.(33),

$$2a_2 \Delta_2 \partial_2 \psi_1 = -2a_2 \lambda_1 (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2) \partial_{\xi\xi\xi}^3 \psi_1^* = e_1 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi\tau}^4 \phi_1^{(0)},$$

whence

$$U_{1a}^{(1)} = \frac{e_1}{2a_2 \lambda_1 (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} x_2 \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)*}. \quad (36)$$

In likewise manner, the second particular solution associated with  $\phi_2^{(0)}$  satisfies

$$a_2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 U_{1b}^{(1)} = e_2 \partial_{\xi\xi\xi\tau}^4 \phi_2^{(0)}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$e_2 = -2\rho c_R [c_{11} + c_{66} - (c_{22} + c_{66})\lambda_2^2 - 2\rho c_R^2],$$

and, similarly, Eq.(32) becomes

$$\beta \partial_{\xi_2}^2 U_{2b}^{(1)} = (\rho c_R^2 - c_{11}) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 U_{1b}^{(1)} - c_{66} \partial_{22}^2 U_{1b}^{(1)} - 2\rho c_R \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_2^{(0)}.$$

Proceeding as before, we get for the solution of Eq.(37)

$$U_{1b}^{(1)} = \frac{e_2}{2a_2 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)} x_2 \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)*}. \quad (38)$$

Hence, putting together the homogeneous and the particular solutions (36,38), the general solution is arrived at

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{(1)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) &= \phi_1^{(1)}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau) + \phi_2^{(1)}(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2, \tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2a_2 (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} x_2 \left[ \frac{e_1}{\lambda_1} \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)*} - \frac{e_2}{\lambda_2} \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)*} \right], \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Indeed, this comes from the general form of the solution for the bi-harmonic equation, see [32]. The alternative choice  $U_{1a}^{(1)}(\xi, x_2, \tau) = \xi \psi_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau)$  is likewise possible, but it is less advantageous when it comes to writing the BCs at  $x_2 = 0$ .

where  $\phi_j^{(1)}(\xi, \lambda_j x_2)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  are plane harmonic functions which decay as  $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Plugging Eq.(39) into Eq.(32), employing the Cauchy-Riemann identities (24) and integrating along  $x_2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2 U_2^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(1)} + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(1)} \\ &- \beta^{-1} \left\{ 2\rho c_R + \frac{e_1}{c_{22}(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \right\} \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)} - \beta^{-1} \left\{ 2\rho c_R + \frac{e_2}{c_{22}(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)} \right\} \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)} \\ &+ \frac{x_2}{2a_2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \left[ e_1 \alpha_1 \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_1^{(0)*} - e_2 \alpha_2 \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_2^{(0)*} \right], \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi U_2^{(1)} &= \alpha_1 \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(1)*} + \alpha_2 \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(1)*} - \frac{1}{\beta \lambda_1} \left\{ 2\rho c_R + \frac{e_1(2c_{66}\lambda_1 - \alpha_1\beta)}{2a_2\lambda_1(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \right\} \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)*} \\ &- \frac{1}{\beta \lambda_2} \left\{ 2\rho c_R + \frac{e_2(2c_{66}\lambda_2 - \alpha_2\beta)}{2a_2\lambda_2(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)} \right\} \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)*} \\ &+ \frac{x_2}{2a_2(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)} \left[ \frac{e_2\alpha_2}{\lambda_2} \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_2^{(0)} - \frac{e_1\alpha_1}{\lambda_1} \partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_1^{(0)} \right]. \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

At this order, the BCs (15) are inhomogeneous

$$c_{66} \left( \partial_2 U_1^{(1)} + \partial_\xi U_2^{(1)} \right) = f_1, \quad (42a)$$

$$c_{12} \partial_\xi U_1^{(1)} + c_{22} \partial_2 U_2^{(1)} = f_2, \quad (42b)$$

where the left hand sides (LHSs) are evaluated at  $x_2 = 0$ . Substituting into Eqs.(42) the expressions (39,40,41) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1) \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(1)*} + (\alpha_2 - \lambda_2) \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(1)*} \\ &= \frac{f_1}{c_{66}} + (\alpha_1 - \lambda_1) \left( Z_{11} \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, 0, \tau) + Z_{12} \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)*}(\xi, 0, \tau) \right) \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

having let

$$(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1) Z_{1j} = \frac{1}{\beta \lambda_j} \left( e_j \frac{\alpha_j \beta + (\beta - 2c_{66}) \lambda_j}{2a_2 \lambda_j (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2)} + 2\rho c_R \right), \quad j, k \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } k \neq j.$$

Similarly, Eq.(42b) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_{12} + c_{22} \alpha_1 \lambda_1) \partial_\xi \phi_1^{(1)} + (c_{12} + c_{22} \alpha_2 \lambda_2) \partial_\xi \phi_2^{(1)} \\ &= f_2 + (c_{12} + c_{22} \alpha_1 \lambda_1) \left( Z_{21} \partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau) + Z_{22} \partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi, 0, \tau) \right), \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1)Z_{2j} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left( \frac{e_j}{\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2} - 2\rho c_R c_{22} \right), \quad j, k \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and } k \neq j.$$

It is observed that the entries of the square matrix  $Z_{jk}$  have dimension of slowness, i.e. inverse of speed.

Let us consider the case of normal load first, i.e.  $f_1 = 0$ . Taking harmonic conjugation of Eq.(43) and considering Eq.(44) lends a singular linear system in the unknowns  $\partial_\xi \phi_1^{(1)}$  and  $\partial_\xi \phi_2^{(1)}$  which admits a unique solution provided that the following compatibility condition is satisfied by the RHS

$$(Z_{21} - Z_{11})\partial_\tau \phi_1^{(0)} + (Z_{22} - Z_{12})\partial_\tau \phi_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1} f_2 = 0, \quad \text{at } x_2 = 0.$$

This equation may be recast entirely in terms of a single harmonic function, say  $\phi_1^{(0)}$ , through the connection (29) and then differentiated with respect to  $\xi$ ,

$$\partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \phi_1^{(0)} = \frac{c_R}{2} \gamma_1 \partial_\xi f_2, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = -\frac{2}{c_R} \frac{1}{(c_{12} + c_{22}\alpha_1\lambda_1) [Z_{21} - Z_{11} - \vartheta(Z_{22} - Z_{12})]}, \quad (46)$$

with dimension of elastic compliance, i.e. inverse of stress. Upon returning to the original variables by means of the approximate operator relation [17, Eq.(3.20)]

$$\partial_{\xi\tau}^2 \approx \frac{c_R}{2\epsilon} (\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2)$$

and introducing the auxiliary harmonic function  $\phi_1(x_1, x_2, t) = \epsilon^{-1} \phi_1^{(0)}(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2, \tau)$ , we have

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2) \phi_1(x_1, 0, t) = \gamma_1 \partial_1 f_2(x_1, t). \quad (47)$$

Therefore, the case of normal loading reduces to the following hyperbolic-elliptic formulation for the auxiliary function  $\phi_1$  which

- satisfies the homogeneous elliptic equation

$$(\partial_{22}^2 + \lambda_1^2 \partial_{11}^2) \phi_1 = 0 \quad (48)$$

in the domain  $\mathcal{E}_2^+$ ,

- with boundary values on the surface  $x_2 = 0$  given by the hyperbolic equation (47) and the depth-wise decay condition (9).

In particular, boundary values may be found independently from (47), i.e. without solving the problem in the domain.

A similar formulation may be derived for shear loading, through the compatibility condition

$$(Z_{21} - Z_{11})\partial_\tau\phi_1^{(0)*} + (Z_{22} - Z_{12})\partial_\tau\phi_2^{(0)*} - \frac{1}{c_{66}(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1)}f_1 = 0, \quad \text{at } x_2 = 0.$$

Consequently, the boundary condition for the elliptic equation (48) takes the form

$$\partial_{\xi\tau}^2\phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, 0, t) = \frac{c_R}{2}\gamma_2\partial_1f_1(\xi, t), \quad (49)$$

or, equivalently, we get the following hyperbolic equation for the harmonic conjugate  $\phi_1^*(x_1, x_2, t) = \epsilon^{-1}\phi_1^{(0)*}(\xi, \lambda_1x_2, \tau)$

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2}\partial_{tt}^2)\phi_1^*(x_1, 0, t) = \gamma_2\partial_1f_1(x_1, t), \quad (50)$$

where we have let the compliance

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{2}{c_R c_{66}(\alpha_1 - \lambda_1) [Z_{21} - Z_{11} - \vartheta(Z_{22} - Z_{12})]}.$$

Finally, we note that in light of Eqs.(30), Eqs.(47) and (50) may be reinterpreted as hyperbolic equations for the surface displacement. Indeed, to leading order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x_1, 0, t) &= (1 - \vartheta)\phi_1(x_1, 0, t), \\ u_2(x_1, 0, t) &= (\alpha_1 - \vartheta\alpha_2)\phi_1^*(x_1, 0, t), \end{aligned}$$

whence Eq.(47) may be rewritten as

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2}\partial_{tt}^2)u_1(x_1, 0, t) = (1 - \vartheta)\gamma_1\partial_1f_2(x_1, t), \quad (51)$$

whereas, for shear loading, Eq.(50) becomes

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2}\partial_{tt}^2)u_2(x_1, 0, t) = (\alpha_1 - \vartheta\alpha_2)\gamma_2\partial_1f_1(x_1, t). \quad (52)$$



#### 4. Isotropic case

In the case of an isotropic half-plane, we have

$$c_{11} = c_{22} = \lambda + 2G, \quad c_{66} = G, \quad c_{12} = \lambda, \quad \beta = \lambda + G, \quad (53)$$

where  $\lambda$  is Lamé's constant and  $G$  is the shear elastic modulus. Consequently, in a linearly isotropic solid, the elastic wave speeds collapse into either  $c_d = \sqrt{(\lambda + 2G)/\rho}$  or  $c_s = \sqrt{G/\rho}$ , respectively the longitudinal and the transversal wave speed,

$$c_1 = c_2 = c_d > c_6 = c_s.$$

Then, the constants  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  allow for a simple interpretation

$$a_0 = \rho^2(c_d^2 - c_R^2)(c_s^2 - c_R^2), \quad a_1 = \rho^2(c_d^2 + c_s^2)(c_h^2 - c_R^2),$$

with  $c_h^2 = 2/(c_d^{-2} + c_s^{-2})$  being the harmonic mean of the speeds squared. Besides, given that Eq.(6) reduces to

$$c_c = 2 \frac{c_s}{c_d} \sqrt{c_d^2 - c_s^2}$$

and in light of  $c_d^2 > 2c_s^2$ , it is a straightforward matter to prove that  $c_h < c_c$ , as expected. Using the property of the harmonic mean, we conclude

$$c_s < c_h < c_c < c_d,$$

from which the condition (20) for having real  $\lambda$  reduces to

$$c_R < c_s,$$

that is indeed guaranteed by Eq.(28). Therefore, in the isotropic case, only purely real attenuation indices are possible.

Besides, we have ( $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  may be freely swapped)

$$\lambda_{1,2}^2 = 1 - \frac{c_R^2}{c_{d,s}^2}, \quad \alpha_1 = -\lambda_1^{-1} \quad \alpha_2 = -\lambda_2,$$

and

$$\vartheta = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{c_R^2}{2c_s^2} \right).$$

Eq.(51) gives, in the isotropic case,

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2) u_1(x_1, 0, t) = \frac{1 - k_2^4}{4GB} \partial_1 f_1(x_1, t), \quad (54)$$

being (we use the notation in [17])

$$k_1 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_R^2}{c_d^2}} = \lambda_2, \quad k_2 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{c_R^2}{c_s^2}} = \lambda_1,$$

and

$$B = \frac{k_2}{k_1} (1 - k_1^2) + \frac{k_1}{k_2} (1 - k_2^2) - 1 + k_2^4.$$

In the same fashion, Eq.(52), in the isotropic case, reduces to

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2) u_2(x_1, 0, t) = -\frac{1 - k_2^4}{4GB} \partial_1 f_1(x_1, t). \quad (55)$$

## 5. Illustrative examples

In this Section, we consider two classical problems of elastodynamics and demonstrate the efficacy of the developed asymptotic model. First, we consider Lamb's problem for a normal load and then we present the analysis of a steady-state moving load problem in the near-resonant regime.

### 5.1. Lamb's problem for a normal load

Lamb's problem considers the effect of a normal (or tangential) point load suddenly applied onto the surface of an elastic half-space [20]. To this aim, we let  $f_1 \equiv 0$  and  $f_2(x_1, t) = P_0 \delta(x_1) \delta(t)$  in the boundary conditions (8) and begin by considering the conventional approach to the problem by means of integral transforms. On applying the Fourier-Laplace transform pair to Eqs.(7), we get

$$c_{66} \frac{d^2 u_1^{FL}}{dx_2^2} - (s^2 c_{11} + \rho p^2) u_1^{FL} + \imath s \beta \frac{du_2^{FL}}{dx_2} = 0, \quad (56a)$$

$$\imath s \beta \frac{du_1^{FL}}{dx_2} + c_{22} \frac{d^2 u_2^{FL}}{dx_2^2} - (s^2 c_{66} + \rho p^2) u_2^{FL} = 0, \quad (56b)$$

where  $u_j^{FL}(x_2)$  are the Fourier-Laplace transforms of the displacements  $u_j(x_1, x_2, t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , along  $x_1$  and  $t$ , i.e.

$$u_j^{FL}(s, x_2, p) = \int_0^{+\infty} \exp(-pt) dt \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_j(x_1, x_2, t) \exp(\imath s x_1) dx_1.$$

Here,  $i$  is the imaginary unit and  $s$  and  $p$  denote the Fourier and Laplace transform parameters, respectively. Eqs.(56) may be recast into the single fourth order ODE

$$a_2 \frac{d^4 u_1^{FL}}{dx_2^4} - s^2 \left( c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}^2 - 2c_{12}c_{66} + (c_{22} + c_{66})\rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} \right) \frac{d^2 u_1^{FL}}{dx_2^2} + s^4 \left( c_{11} + \rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} \right) \left( c_{66} + \rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} \right) u_1^{FL} = 0, \quad (57)$$

that clearly corresponds to the Fourier transform of the bi-harmonic operator (18) in which we substitute  $c_R^2$  with  $-p^2/s^2$ . The decaying solution of this fourth order ODE is found in the form

$$u_1^{FL}(x_2) = C_1 \exp(-q_1 s x_2) + C_2 \exp(-q_2 s x_2), \quad (58)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are complex-valued functions of  $s$  and  $p$ . To warrant decay, the attenuation coefficients appearing in the exponentials need have positive real part, i.e.  $\Re(sq_j) > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Besides, they are solution of the secular equation for Rayleigh wave propagating in orthorhombic crystals [26, §5.3.3.1] (cfr.Eqs.(19))

$$q_1^2 + q_2^2 = \frac{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}^2 - 2c_{12}c_{66} + (c_{22} + c_{66})\rho \frac{p^2}{s^2}}{a_2},$$

$$q_1^2 q_2^2 = \frac{\left( c_{11} + \rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} \right) \left( c_{66} + \rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} \right)}{a_2}.$$

Hence, from Eq.(56a), the transformed vertical displacement reads

$$u_2^{FL} = C_1 F(q_1) \exp(-q_1 s x_2) + C_2 F(q_2) \exp(-q_2 s x_2), \quad (59)$$

wherein we let the dimensionless functions

$$F(q_j) = -i \frac{c_{66}q_j^2 - \rho \frac{p^2}{s^2} - c_{11}}{\beta q_j}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The Fourier-Laplace transform of the boundary conditions (8) yields

$$\frac{du_1^{FL}}{dx_2}(0) + i s u_2^{FL}(0) = 0, \quad i s c_{12} u_1^{FL}(0) + c_{22} \frac{du_2^{FL}}{dx_2}(0) = P_0,$$

which, upon substituting Eqs.(58) and (59), gives a linear algebraic system in the unknowns  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . After some algebraic manipulations, the transform of the horizontal displacement on the surface is found

$$u_1^{FL}(0) = C_1 + C_2 = -\iota P_0 \frac{Q(-p^2/s^2)}{sR(-p^2/s^2)}, \quad (60)$$

where

$$Q(z) = c_{11} - \rho z - c_{12} \sqrt{\frac{(c_{11} - \rho z)(c_{66} - \rho z)}{a_2}}, \quad (61)$$

and  $R(c^2)$  has been defined in (27). It is clear that the function  $R(c^2)$  possesses a single zero, associated with the Rayleigh wave speed, at  $c_R^2 = -p^2/s^2 < c_1^2$ , which is a pole for Eq.(60). The near-resonance regime is to be found right in the neighbourhood of this pole, wherein the RHS of Eq.(60) may be well approximated by the leading order term of its Taylor expansion

$$R\left(-\frac{p^2}{s^2}\right) \approx -R'(c_R^2) \left(\frac{p^2}{s^2} + c_R^2\right) \quad \text{and} \quad Q\left(-\frac{p^2}{s^2}\right) \approx Q(c_R^2).$$

In this context, the speed  $c$  appearing in the asymptotic approach is a measure of the distance from this pole, i.e.  $c^2 = -p^2/s^2$ . Whence, in the vicinity of the Rayleigh pole,

$$u_1^{FL}(0) = \iota \gamma_3 \frac{sc_R^2 P_0}{p^2 + c_R^2 s^2}, \quad (62)$$

where the constant

$$\gamma_3 = -\frac{2}{c_R^2} \frac{\chi_1^2 \chi_6 (\sqrt{a_2} \chi_1 - c_{12} \chi_6)}{\rho c_{22} \chi_1^2 (\chi_1^2 + 3\chi_6^2) - c_{12}^2 (\chi_1^2 + \chi_6^2) + 2\sqrt{a_2} \chi_1 \chi_6 (2\chi_1^2 - \rho c_{11})}, \quad (63)$$

is expressed in terms of the density, stiffness components, and the Rayleigh wave speed, with the positive quantities

$$\chi_1 = \sqrt{c_1^2 - c_R^2}, \quad \chi_6 = \sqrt{c_6^2 - c_R^2}. \quad (64)$$

It may be verified from (21) and (29) that

$$\gamma_3 = (1 - \vartheta) \gamma_1, \quad (65)$$

where  $\gamma_1$  given by Eq.(46). Hence, on the surface  $x_2 = 0$ , for the displacement  $u_1^{FL}$

$$[\partial_{11}^2 u_1 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2 u_1]^{FL} = -\iota \gamma_3 s P_0 = [\gamma_3 P_0 \delta'(x_1) \delta(t)]^{FL}$$

whose inversion is straightforward

$$(\partial_{11}^2 - c_R^{-2} \partial_{tt}^2) u_1 = \gamma_3 P_0 \delta'(x_1) \delta(t). \quad (66)$$

This hyperbolic equation is in fact Eq.(51), with  $f_2 = P_0 \delta(x_1) \delta(t)$ . Thus, not surprisingly, the contribution of the Rayleigh pole matches the derived asymptotic formulation.

We now derive the Rayleigh wave field for the Lamb problem through the asymptotic formulation. We begin by solving on the surface  $x_2 = 0$  the hyperbolic equation (47) specified for Lamb's normal load, namely

$$\left( \partial_{11}^2 - \frac{1}{c_R^2} \partial_{tt}^2 \right) \phi_1(x_1, 0, t) = \gamma_1 P_0 \delta'(x_1) \delta(t).$$

Employing the fundamental solution of the 1D wave operator, see e.g. [24], we deduce

$$\phi_1(x_1, 0, t) = \frac{c_R}{2} \gamma_1 P_0 [\delta(x_1 - c_R t) - \delta(x_1 + c_R t)]. \quad (67)$$

Then, using Poisson's formula for Laplace operator in the half-plane [32, §5.4.4], the harmonic function  $\phi_1$  is immediately retrieved

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x_1, x_2, t) &= \frac{x_2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x_1 - r)^2 + x_2^2} \phi_1(r, 0, t) dr \\ &= \frac{\gamma_1 P_0 c_R}{2\pi} x_2 \left[ \frac{1}{(x_1 - c_R t)^2 + x_2^2} - \frac{1}{(x_1 + c_R t)^2 + x_2^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The full-field displacement is determined accordingly

$$u_{1,2}(x_1, x_2, t) = \frac{\gamma_1 P_0 c_R}{2\pi} (\hat{U}_{1,2}^- - \hat{U}_{1,2}^+),$$

where

$$\hat{U}_1^\mp = \lambda_1 \frac{x_2}{(x_1 \mp c_R t)^2 + (\lambda_1 x_2)^2} - \vartheta \lambda_2 \frac{x_2}{(x_1 \mp c_R t)^2 + (\lambda_2 x_2)^2}$$

and

$$\hat{U}_2^\mp = \alpha_1 \frac{x_1 \mp c_R t}{(x_1 \mp c_R t)^2 + (\lambda_1 x_2)^2} - \vartheta \alpha_2 \frac{x_1 \mp c_R t}{(x_1 \mp c_R t)^2 + (\lambda_2 x_2)^2}.$$

Figs.2 and 3 present the displacement profiles  $U_{1,2}^-$  in terms of the dimensionless co-ordinate  $\eta = \xi/x_2$ .




Figure 2: Displacement profile  $\hat{U}_1^-$  for Lamb's normal load problem as obtained from the asymptotic analysis for carbon epoxy composite




Figure 3: Displacement profile  $\hat{U}_2^-$  for Lamb's normal load problem as obtained from the asymptotic analysis for carbon epoxy composite

### 5.2. Steady-state moving load problem

We now consider the action of a normal line load in steady motion along the surface  $x_2 = 0$  with constant speed  $c$ , this time not necessarily close to the Rayleigh wave speed  $c_R$ . For this setup, we let for the loading  $f_1 = 0$  and  $f_2 = f(x_1 - ct)$ . Following the original approach in [2], we first derive the problem general solution in the subsonic regime  $c < c_R$  and then draw a comparison with the results of the asymptotic formulation letting the speed of the load approach the resonant surface wave speed (see also [14]). In going through the derivations, it will become apparent that the asymptotic model is basically obtained by perturbation of this steady-state moving load problem solution around Rayleigh's critical speed.

First of all, Eqs.(7) are re-written in terms of the moving coordinate  $\xi = x_1 - ct$

$$(c_{11} - \rho c^2)\partial_{\xi\xi}^2 u_1 + c_{66}\partial_{22}^2 u_1 + \beta\partial_{\xi 2}^2 u_2 = 0, \quad (68a)$$

$$\beta\partial_{\xi 2}^2 u_1 + (c_{66} - \rho c^2)\partial_{\xi\xi}^2 u_2 + c_{22}\partial_{22}^2 u_2 = 0, \quad (68b)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$\partial_{\xi} u_2 + \partial_2 u_1 = 0, \quad (69a)$$

$$c_{12}\partial_{\xi} u_1 + c_{22}\partial_2 u_2 = f(\xi). \quad (69b)$$

According to [10] and [3], in the subsonic regime Eqs.(68) allow a solution in terms of a single plane harmonic function. Indeed, the system (68) may be rewritten as the product of two stretched Laplace operators for either unknown, say

$$\Delta_1 \Delta_2 u_1 = 0. \quad (70)$$

The solution to this PDE is readily found by superposition of a pair of plane harmonic functions

$$u_1(\xi, x_2) = \phi_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2) + \phi_2(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2), \quad (71)$$

and plugging this back into Eq.(68a) lends

$$u_2(\xi, x_2) = \alpha_1 \phi_1^*(\xi, \lambda_1 x_2) + \alpha_2 \phi_2^*(\xi, \lambda_2 x_2), \quad (72)$$

wherein the coefficients  $\alpha_j$  are defined as in Eq.(23) provided that  $c_R$  is replaced by the moving load speed  $c$ . Unlike the leading order analysis of Sec.3.1, substitution of this solution into the boundary conditions (69) yields a inhomogeneous linear system that is regular inasmuch as  $c \neq c_R$ . The solution to this system is readily obtained by Cramer's rule

$$\partial_\xi \phi_1 = Q_1(c^2)f, \quad (73)$$

where

$$Q_1(c^2) = \frac{\lambda_1 (c_{12}\lambda_2^2 + c_{11} - \rho c^2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) R(c^2)}, \quad (74)$$

with  $R(c^2)$  defined in Eq.(27). Clearly, the resonant nature of the Rayleigh wave is seen from (74).

The connection between the harmonic functions is given by

$$\phi_2(\xi, 0) = -\vartheta \phi_1(\xi, 0), \quad (75)$$

Integrating Eq.(73) provides a Dirichelet-type boundary condition for the elliptic equation (48), namely

$$\phi_1(\xi, 0) = Q_1(c^2) \int f(\xi) d\xi. \quad (76)$$

Thus, making use of the harmonic form of the solution allows significant simplification, since the vector problem of elastodynamics is now reduced to the solution of a scalar elliptic equation.

For the sake of illustration, we consider the case of a point normal load travelling at constant speed  $c$ , i.e.  $f(\xi) = P_0\delta(\xi)$ . Then, integration of Eq.(76) gives

$$\phi_1(\xi, 0) = Q_1(c^2)P_0 \left[ H(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (77)$$

where the arbitrary integration constant  $1/2$  (stemming in light of the steady-state nature of the problem) is chosen as to preserve symmetry and  $H(\xi)$  is Heaviside's step function. Then, the harmonic function  $\phi_1 = \phi_1(\xi, x_2)$  may be determined over the interior of the half-plane by means of Poisson's formula

$$\phi_1(\xi, x_2) = \frac{P_0}{\pi} Q_1(c^2) \arctan \frac{\xi}{x_2}. \quad (78)$$






Figure 4: Displacement profile  $\hat{U}_1$  for the steady state motion of a point load acting on carbon epoxy composite material and moving at speed  $c = 1000$  (solid),  $1500$  (dashed) and  $2000$  (dotted) m/s




Figure 5: Displacement profile  $\hat{U}_2$  for the steady state motion of a point load acting on carbon epoxy composite material and moving at speed  $c = 1000$  (solid),  $1500$  (dashed) and  $2000$  (dotted) m/s

The displacement field immediately follows from Eqs.(71)

$$u_1(\xi, x_2) = \frac{P_0}{\pi} Q_1(c^2) \hat{U}_1, \quad \hat{U}_1 = \arctan \frac{\xi}{\lambda_1 x_2} - \vartheta \arctan \frac{\xi}{\lambda_2 x_2}, \quad (79)$$

and from Eq.(72)

$$u_2(\xi, x_2) = \frac{P_0}{2\pi} Q_1(c^2) \hat{U}_2 \quad \hat{U}_2 = \alpha_1 \ln \left( \frac{\xi^2}{\lambda_1^2 x_2^2} + 1 \right) - \vartheta \alpha_2 \ln \left( \frac{\xi^2}{\lambda_2^2 x_2^2} + 1 \right). \quad (80)$$

Indeed, on account of the fact that  $\ln z = \frac{1}{2} \ln |z|^2 + i \arg z$  is an analytic function of  $z$  (in the cut plane), its real and imaginary parts are harmonic conjugated functions and, letting  $z = 1 + i\xi/x_2$ , we have  $|z|^2 = \xi^2/x_2^2 + 1$ ,  $\arg z = \arctan \xi/x_2$ , together with the required decay condition.

Figs.4 and 5 plot the displacement profiles  $\hat{U}_{1,2}$  in terms of the dimensionless variable  $\eta = \xi/x_2$  at different load speeds.

Let us now implement the asymptotic formulation in the case of near-resonant speed for the moving load, i.e. when condition (10) is satisfied. Then, from Eq.(47) we have at  $x_2 = 0$

$$\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{c_R^2}\right) \partial_{\xi\xi}^2 \phi_1 = \gamma_1 \partial_{\xi} f, \quad (81)$$

from which we immediately deduce (compare with Eq.(76))

$$\phi_1(\xi, 0) = Q_2(c^2) \int f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (82)$$

with

$$Q_2(c^2) = \frac{c_R^2 \gamma_1}{c_R^2 - c^2}. \quad (83)$$

Similarly to the analysis given for Lamb's problem, it may be seen that the expression for  $Q_2(c^2)$  corresponds to the leading order term in the Taylor expansion about the Rayleigh speed of Eq.(74), which gives the amplitude coefficient  $Q_1(c^2)$  for the exact solution.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, an explicit asymptotic model is derived which accounts for the Rayleigh wave contribution to the dynamic field induced by stresses acting on the surface of an orthorhombic half-plane. The model is set in terms of a single plane harmonic function and it allows to reduce the vector problem of elastodynamics to a scalar elliptic equation with boundary values given by an hyperbolic equation. Indeed, the model incorporates time dependence through the 1D wave equation (Eqs.(47) and (50) for the normal and shear load, respectively), which, once solved on the half-plane surface, provides the boundary values for a Laplace equation in the interior. This approach allows to easily tackle generally involved propagation problems and obtain their far-field approximation, which is sensitive to the propagated field. Moreover, the hyperbolic equations (51) and (52) immediately lend the surface displacement.

In order to illustrate the proposed asymptotic formulation, two classical problems are considered, namely Lamb's problem for normal load and the

steady-state propagation of a line load in the subsonic regime. In the case of Lamb’s problem, a pair of opposite moving Rayleigh waves are generated on the surface whose effect in the interior is immediately found by Poisson’s integral formula. This displacement field matches the behaviour found by using the integral transform method and then approximating the solution in the transformed domain by a Taylor series centered at the Rayleigh pole (i.e. the residue of the Rayleigh pole). Conversely, the steady-state propagation problem lends itself most easily to a solution in terms of a single plane harmonic function and, in the limit as the speed approaches the Rayleigh wave speed, the results of the asymptotic model are retrieved. Thus, it is shown that the proposed approach is obtained by perturbing the steady-state solution for a load moving at the critical speed.

Finally, we note that this approach can be generalized to include complex conjugate attenuation parameters associated with oscillatory decay (although this situation is non-trivial for it is set outside the scope of harmonic solutions), to 3D problems and surface waves in a coated half-space, transient moving load problems treated similarly to [12], surface waves in case of impedance boundary conditions [33] and also extensions of the parabolic-elliptic model for bending edge waves to anisotropic plates [16].

### Acknowledgement

AN gratefully acknowledges financial support from the National Group of Mathematical Physics (GNFM-INdAM) through the Young researchers fellowship programme 2017, Prot. n. U UFMBAZ-2017/0000259 08/06/2017.

DP acknowledges support through the Erasmus+ EU framework.

### References

- [1] DM Barnett and J Lothe. Free surface (Rayleigh) waves in anisotropic elastic half-spaces: the surface impedance method. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, volume 402, pages 135–152. The Royal Society, 1985.

- [2] VA Bratov, J Kaplunov, and DA Prikazchikov. On steady-state moving load problems for an elastic half-space. In *Proceedings of the International Conference "Days on Diffraction - 2016"*, IEEE, pages 84–88. IEEE, 2016.
- [3] P Chadwick. Surface and interfacial waves of arbitrary form in isotropic elastic media. *Journal of Elasticity*, 6(1):73–80, 1976.
- [4] P Chadwick. Wave propagation in transversely isotropic elastic media. i. homogeneous plane waves. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, volume 422, pages 23–66. The Royal Society, 1989.
- [5] H-H Dai, J Kaplunov, and DA Prikazchikov. A long-wave model for the surface elastic wave in a coated half-space. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, volume 466, pages 3097–3116. The Royal Society, 2010.
- [6] M Destrade. The explicit secular equation for surface acoustic waves in monoclinic elastic crystals. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 109(4):1398–1402, 2001.
- [7] N Ege, B Erbas, and DA Prikazchikov. On the 3d Rayleigh wave field on an elastic half-space subject to tangential surface loads. *ZAMM Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics/Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik*, 95(12):1558–1565, 2015.
- [8] B Erbaş, J Kaplunov, and DA Prikazchikov. The Rayleigh wave field in mixed problems for a half-plane. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 78(5):1078–1086, 2013.
- [9] B Erbaş, J Kaplunov, DA Prikazchikov, and O Şahin. The near-resonant regimes of a moving load in a three-dimensional problem for a coated elastic half-space. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 22(1):89–100, 2017.
- [10] FG Friedlander. On the total reflection of plane waves. *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, 1(1):376–384, 1948.

- [11] RM Jones. *Mechanics of composite materials*, volume 193. Scripta Book Company Washington, DC, 1975.
- [12] J Kaplunov, E Nolde, and DA Prikazchikov. A revisit to the moving load problem using an asymptotic model for the Rayleigh wave. *Wave motion*, 47(7):440–451, 2010.
- [13] J Kaplunov and DA Prikazchikov. Explicit models for surface, interfacial and edge waves. In *Dynamic localization phenomena in elasticity, acoustics and electromagnetism*, pages 73–114. Springer Vienna, 2013.
- [14] J Kaplunov and DA Prikazchikov. Asymptotic theory for Rayleigh and Rayleigh-type waves. *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, 2017.
- [15] J Kaplunov, DA Prikazchikov, B Erbaş, and O Şahin. On a 3D moving load problem for an elastic half space. *Wave Motion*, 50(8):1229–1238, 2013.
- [16] J Kaplunov, DA Prikazchikov, and GA Rogerson. Edge bending wave on a thin elastic plate resting on a winkler foundation. In *Proc. R. Soc. A*, volume 472, page 20160178. The Royal Society, 2016.
- [17] J Kaplunov, A Zakharov, and D Prikazchikov. Explicit models for elastic and piezoelectric surface waves. *IMA journal of applied mathematics*, 71(5):768–782, 2006.
- [18] J Kevorkian and JD Cole. *Perturbation methods in applied mathematics*, volume 34. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [19] AP Kiselev and DF Parker. Omni-directional rayleigh, stoneley and schölte waves with general time dependence. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, page rspa20090595. The Royal Society, 2010.
- [20] H Lamb. On the propagation of tremors over the surface of an elastic solid. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing papers of a mathematical or physical character*, 203:1–42, 1904.

- [21] A Nobili, E Radi, and L Lanzoni. On the effect of the backup plate stiffness on the brittle failure of a ceramic armor. *Acta Mechanica*, pages 1–14, 2015.
- [22] DF Parker. Evanescent Schölte waves of arbitrary profile and direction. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 23(02):267–287, 2012.
- [23] DF Parker. The Stroh formalism for elastic surface waves of general profile. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, page rspa20132160. The Royal Society, 2013.
- [24] AD Polyanin and VE Nazaikinskii. *Handbook of linear partial differential equations for engineers and scientists*. CRC press, 2015.
- [25] DA Prikazchikov. Rayleigh waves of arbitrary profile in anisotropic media. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 50:83–86, 2013.
- [26] D Royer and E Dieulesaint. Elastic waves in solids I: Free and guided propagation. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2000.
- [27] SL Sobolev. Some problems in wave propagation. In *Differential and integral equations of mathematical physics, Russian translation*, pages 468–617. ONTI, 1937.
- [28] R Stoneley. Elastic waves at the surface of separation of two solids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*, 106(738):416–428, 1924.
- [29] AN Stroh. Steady state problems in anisotropic elasticity. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 41(1):77–103, 1962.
- [30] JW Strutt. On waves propagated along the plane surface of an elastic solid. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 1(1):4–11, 1885.
- [31] VA Sveklo. Plane waves and Rayleigh waves in anisotropic media. In *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, volume 59, pages 871–874, 1948.

- [32] AN Tikhonov and AA Samarskiĭ. *Equations of mathematical physics*, volume 39. Courier Corporation, 1990.
- [33] PC Vinh and NQ Xuan. Rayleigh waves with impedance boundary condition: Formula for the velocity, existence and uniqueness. *European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids*, 61:180–185, 2017.

Author Agreement Statement

We the undersigned declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We understand that the Corresponding Author is the sole contact for the Editorial process. He/she is responsible for communicating with the other authors about progress, submissions of revisions and final approval of proofs

Signed by all authors as follows:

D. Prikazchikov



23/11/17

A. Nobili



23/11/17